


Frinceton, n. j.

Presented by Mr. Samuel Agnew of Philadelphia, Pa.

Agnew Coll. on Baptism, No.



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from Princeton Theological Seminary Library

BAPTIZ MAAOFIA

TREATISE

CONCERNING

Baptisms:

Whereto is added a DISCOURSE

CONCERNING THE

Supper, Bread and VVine,

Called also

COMMUNION.

By Thomas Lawfon.

Printed in the Year 167?

APIN ATHI

- Tri I reside to co

B- When the June

Pront I was Idea with

READER,

In this following Treatise is presented to thy Eye:

1. The Temporary Baptism of John with water.

2. The Permanent or Continuing Baptism of Christ with

the Spirit.

3. The irrepititious Custom of Rantism, that is, Sprinkling of Infants.

Herein also thou mayst see, that after the Primitive Times, the Fall of the Star from Heaven was manifested, Sun and Air (thorow the Smoke of the Bestomless Pitz Haman Wisdom, Heathenish Learning, Spoiling Phylosophy, School Divinity) was dirkned, thorow the steaming in of which Christ's Baptism in and with the Spirit came to be lost, then Water-Baptism and Rantism came to be reassumed.

1. One while baptizing or sprinkling Old People on their

Death-Beds.

2. Another while haptizing of People sooner, as when they were capable of being catechised.

3. After this Baptizing or Sprinkling of Infants.

4. Some Baptized People after they were Dead, and put the Sacramental Bread (as'ris casted) in their Mouthes.

5. Hence sprung in the Custom of Baptizing the Living for the Dead.

6. Hence arose the Custom of Baptizing Children in the

wind, before they were forn,

9. Some have but the thuracter of a Cross made in their Fore-heads with a Burning Iron, which was called Christ's Especies with the Holy Chast and with Fire.

A3

8. Many

8.1 Many, yea, almost all, for Ages, were Raptized Naked.

9. Many Baptized Themselves every Tear.

English Strang

And these various unscriptural Proceedings were and are cryed up by the Observers thereof to be of Divine Instituzion.

Here also thou mayst read, how that in this Night of Apostacy, which hath come upon the Churches professing ChriAianity, sprung up the use of Godfathers, Godmathers,
Gossips, Fonts, Exorcisms, Sign of the Cross, Albs, Salt; all
which things, with others, are more or less touched in this
following Treatise.

BAP.

BAPTIZMAAONA

Or a TREATISE concerning

BAPTISM

Confess, I have often been very serious concerning the Doctrine of Baptisms, and have not let any thing slip from me, written or spoken in Vindication of Baptism and Rantism, that is, Dipping and Sprinkling, without serious Confideration, so to answer the Desires of some sober Persons, but primarily Divine Requirings, I was induced to sharpen the Neb of my Pen, and to commit to writing my Belief in reference thereto; and to the end I may the better be understood of such as read me, I have reduced the Contents of the ensuing Discourse into sour Heads or Affertions, which be as follow.

- I. The Baptism of John with Water, and the Baptism of Christ with the Spirit, were not one, and the same, but two, that is to say, Distinct Baptisms.
- II. John's Baptism, in or with Water, was a Figure, or a Shadow of Christ's Baptism, in or with the Spirit, so thereby fulfilled and ended.
- III. Christ's, Baptism in or with the Spirit, is the Baptism only to continue in the Church of Christ to the End of the World.
- IV. Rantism, that is to say, Sprinkling of Infants, is a Case unpresidented in the Primitive Times, an irrepetitious Custom sprung up in the Night of Apostacy, after the Revolt from Christ, the Captain of the true Israelites, the Fall from the primitive Order.

The

The First ASSERTION.

The Baptisin of John with Water, and the Baptisin of Christ with the Spirit, were not one, but two, that is to say, Distinct Baptisms.

THE Truth of this is clear and evident to every one, whose Eyes are opened by the Influence of the Almighty, and I may produce a Cloud of Witnesses for the Consirmation thereof, though indeed many late Writers and Teachers have and do affirm, That John's Baptisin and Christ's Baptisin make but up one Baptisin; that the Spirit goes along with the Water, making up one Baptisin; or that the Water thorough the Word of Institution, hath a super-natural Virtue, effectual to the Santiscation, Ablution, that is, Washing and Regeneration of Man: this is an Antichristian-Doctrine, to ascribe such things to visible elementary Water, which are the Effects and Products of the Blood of Christ, the Water of Life, John, Christ and Peter make them distinct.

Now for the determining of this Controversie, observe the Record of John the Baptist, that is, John the Dipper, so call'd because he was authorized to Baptize in Water, as also to distinguish him from John the Apostle, Mat. 3. 11. I indeed baptize you with Water, &c. (faith John) but he that comes after me, (to wit. Christ) he shallbaptize you with the Holy Ghost and with Fire. Consider this, John makes them distinct Baptisms, as if he should have said, I am to baptize with Water only, that is my Work, my Office, thereto I am commissioned from Heaven, even to wash the Body, the Filth of the outward man; but when Christ is come, he shall have another Work, of a more Heavenly Nature; what is that? he shall baptize you with the Holy Spirit and with Fire: he doth not fay, he will baptize you with Water, neither doth he fay, he will baptize you with Water and with the Spirit, but he will baptize you with the Holy Spirit only; so that John absolutely

concludes them to be destined Baptisms, he with Water, Christ

with the Spirit.

See also Mark 1.8. where the very same Record is born of John's Baptism, and Christ's Baptism, I indeed have baptized you with Water, but he shall baptize you with the Holy Spirit. Mark this, [I have] but [he shall] this denotes the two Baptisms.

See also Luke the third, there was a great musing about John, as whether he was the Christ or no; people entertained high Thoughts of him, as being born in an extraordinary manner, and living in the Wilderness, his Apparel and Diet being unufual, as a Raiment of Camels Hair, Locusts and Wild Honey being his Food, his Baptism was new and strange; these things being considered, occasioned much Reasoning among the people, as, whether he was the Messias or no: now for a removal and scouring away of such Reasonings, John tells them plainly and evidently, he was not the Christ, Luke 3. 15, 16. saying, I indeed baptize you with Water; my Work is to wash your Bodies with the visible Element of Water, that's my Ministration: but (saith he) A mightier then I comes after me, and he shall baptize you with the Holy Spirit. Here John holds out his Ministration of Water-Baptism, and the Ministration of Christ's Spiritual Baptism to be distinct.

See also Joh. 1.33. where the very same Record is born, I with Water, which reaches to the Ablution of the outward Man; Christ with the Spirit, which is effectual to the Ablution

or Washing of the inward Man.

The four Evangelists, who were Ostavevalor, that is, divinely inspired, are unanimous in the distinction of the Baptism

of John, and of the Baptism of Christ.

Further, In Heb. 6.2. the Apostle speaking of some of the initial Points of the true Religion, makes meniton of the Doctrine of Baptisms, not Baptism, but Baptisms, in the plural number, and that these are John's with Water, and Christ's with the Spirit, may be proved by a fruitful and plentiful Harvest of Testinonies.

So they err greatly who affirm that the Baptism of John and the Baptism of Christ made up but One Baptism, it being so B 2 evident

(4)

evident in Scripture, that they were distinst, which may surther thus appear:

First, Trey were distinct in Name; for John's Baptism still kept its Name, yea, when the Apostles used it, it was still called the Baptism of John; why so? because it was required of him, his Office and Work by divine Institution, John 1. 33. yea, after Christ's Baptism with the Spirit came in, John's Baptism with Water still retained its Name, as being distinct from it, Alts 18. 24, 25.

Secondly, They were distinct in Manifestation; that is, Christ's Baptism was to follow John's, and did not go along with it, at the same time, as men now would jumble them together, faying, The Spirit goes along with the Water, and both make up but One Baptism, after the Resurrection of Christ, before his ascending; though John's Baptism had been used several vears, yet Christ told them, that what John spoke concerning him, of his baptizing with the Holy Spirit, was not fulfilled, but shortly to be sulfilled, Asts 1.4,5. Christ being assembled with the Apostles, commanded them not to depart from Terusalem, but to wait for the Promise of the Father, which (faith he) you have heard of me; for John truly baptized with Water, but ye shall be baptized with the Holy Spirit not many dayes hence, which was fulfilled at the day of Pentecost: So that Christ's Baptism did not accompany John's Baptism, to make up one entire Baptism; for it followed it feveral years after it: fo that the Effusion of the Holy Spirit is Christ's Baptism, the great Blessing of Gospel-dayes, of the Evangelical Dispensation, which qualified and doth qualifie to be the Lord's True and Living Witnesses.

Thirdly, John's Baptism and Christ's were distinct; for assume that fohn baptized had need of the Baptism of Christ: Now, if John's Baptism had been one and the same with Christ's, that only had been sufficient; but John's baptizing of them with Water lest them in need of the Baptism of Christ: John said unto Christ (who came from Galilee to Jordan to be bap-

baptized of him) I have need to be baptized of thee, and comest thou unto me? Mat. 3.12, 14. So that John the Baptist knew his Baptiss to be inferiour to Christ's Baptism, so desired more sully to partake of it. See also Asts 19. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Paul sound certain Disciples Partakers of John's Baptism, but they had not received the Spirit, as they themselves consessed; so that the Spirit, and Water outward go not along to make up One Baptism; Paul laid his hands on them, and they received the Spirit, so knew its Baptism; these had John's Baptism before they received the Spirit and its Baptism, which proves them distinct Baptisms.

Fourthly, They are distinct, inasmuch as the Figure and the Substance are distinct, as Circumcision Outward and Circumcision Inward were not One, but Two, that is, distinct Circumcisions: fo the Baptilm of John with Water, and the Baptilm of Christ with the Spirit, were distinct Baptisms, whereby the unfound Judgments of such appear, who affirm, teach and preach That the Spirit goes along with the Water, and both make up One Baptism; and that the Water is so influenced with a supernatural Vertue by the Word of Institution, that Children (damnati priusquam nati, damn'd before they be born) are thereby made Members of Christ, Children of God and Inheriters of the Kingdom of Heaven: And though fince the Primitive Times, to fulfil Divine Prædictions, Darkness, yea, gross Darkness hath benighted the Professor Christianity, and the peccant Humour of Error, Herefie, Schism and Misapprehensions of Divine Truths hath been predominant in the infirm and crazy Body of nominal Christianity, yet the Lord hath so far opened the Eyes of some, as that they have dropped forth Testimonies in Vindication of that Truth here afferted, that is, that the Baptism of Iohn with Water, and the Baptism of Christ with the Spirit, without Water, were distinct Baptisms, and that none may have occasion to render this a groundless Flourish, I shall here subjoyn a flew Testimonies.

Augustine said in a certain place, 'Illud manifestum est, alium

fuisse baptismum Johannes alium Christi; that is, It is clear and evident, that there was One Baptism of John, and another Baptism of Christ, Contr. Lit. Petil. 2.

Pasor paraphrasing on Heb. 6.2. of the Doctrine of Baptisms, said, 'Here the Plural Number shews forth the Outward

and Inward Baptilm.

Chrysostom saith, 'That in the Apostles time the Baptism of 'Water, and the Baptism of the Spirit were different Baptisms,

'and done at different Times, Magd. 5. Cent. 363-

Cyprian Bishop of Carthage, who lived about the year 230. said, 'The Baptism of Iohn washed the outward Body, but the Baptism of Christ cleanseth the inward Man from the Defilements of Sin, Polydor. lib. 4. cap. 4.

'The Baptism of John gives not spiritual Grace nor Remission of Sins; but Christ forgives Sins, and gives the Spirit plen-

tifully, Theophylact on Mat. 3.11.

'Iohn was sent to baptize with Water, but the Baptism of the Spirit was committed to Christ: John baptized with Water, inviting to Repentance; Christ by his Spirit, wherewith he baptizeth, renews the Heart, and sanctifieth by his Grace,

Aug. Marlolat on Acts 1.5.

'John the Baptist makes Christ the Author of spiritual Baptism, but himself the Minister of outward Baptism only, not the putting away of the filth of the Flesh, the Work of John's Baptism, but the Answer of a good Conscience, which is an Effect of Christ's Baptism, saith Aug. Marlolat on Matth. 3.11. A clear Distinction of the two Baptisms is here implyed.

Spark, the King's Chaplain, speaking of the pouring forth of the Spirit upon the Apostles, saith, 'They had before bap-'tismum fluminis, the Watry Baptism of Iohn; but now baptis' mum flaminis, the Baptism of the Holy Spirit; their Tongues 'were touched with a Coal from the Heavenly Altar. A full

Distinction of the two Baptisms.

'The Baptism of Iohn (faith the same Spark) doth not take away Sin, but puts them in mind thereof; but Christ's Baptism takes away Sin, his Spirit (saith he) is our Ierdan.

France Com

For-

Forgiveness of Sins was not in nor by John's Baptism, but in our Baptism all sins are blotted out, saith Ven. Bed. Histor. Eccles.

Piscator on Matth. 3. speaking of 1 Pet. 3. 21. saith, 'Lest' any should think that Outward Baptism saves us, Peter makes a Distinction, and ascribes Salvation to Inward Baptism, saying, Not Outward Baptism, whereby the Filth of the Flesh is put off, save us, but Inward Baptism, &c.

'There is a two fold Baptisin (faith Trapp on Matth. 3.11.)

viz. fluminis et fluminis, that is, of Water, and of the Spirit;

by John's, with Water, the Polution of the Flesh is put away;

by Christ's, with the Spirit, the Answer of a Good Conscience, purged from Dead Works, to God-ward is known.

Trapp on Heb. 6. 2. concerning the Doctrine of Baptisms, makes a clear Distinction betwixt the outward Baptism of Iohn with Water, and the inward Baptism of Christ with the Spirit.

Piscator on Mat. 3.11. saith, 'There is a two-fold Baptism, the one Outward, whereby the Body is cleansed, the other Inward by the Spirit, whereby men are regenerated and renewed, washed and purged from the Filth of Sin.

'People were to be taught (faith Wilson in his Compleat Christian-Distionary) 'to put a Difference betwixt Outward Bap-'tism by the Minister, and the Inward Baptism by the Spirit,

wherewith Christ baptizeth.

'The Minister (faith the same Wilson) baptizeth by Sprinkling with water, Christ baptizes by bestowing the Gifts of his spirit.

Prudentius holds out a clear Distinction betwixt Iohn's Baptism with Water, and Christ's Baptism with the Spirit; saying in his Euchirid,

Perfundit fluvio pastus Baptista locustus
 Sylvarumque favis, et amistus veste Cameli

'Tinxerat et Christum, sed spiritus æthere missus

'Testatur tinctum, qui tinctiscrimina donet.

John fed with Locusts, and with Honey wild, Clothed with Camel's Hair, the Baptist stil'd, He dipped Christ, Christ by the Spirit's Grace Baptizeth his, and doth their Sins deface.

The Second Affertion.

John's Baptism in or with Water, was a Figure or a Shadow of Christ's Baptism, in or with the Spirit, so thereby fulfilled and ended.

HE Living God made Man perfect and upright at the beginning, as a Garden watered with Heavenly Drops, beautified with Fruits of Righteousness, according to the Nature of the noble Seed in him, yethe kept not his original Station, but was entangled in the Net of the Hellish Spider, whereby Fruits of Unrighteousness sprung up in him, the Foundation of another Kingdom was laid, fo that a world of Wickedness was usher'd in, the comfortable Sun-shine of the Lord's Prefence was loft; yet in this State there issued out from the Court of Heaven a Hu-and-Cry (as I may fay) after faln and forlorn man, and it was proclaimed, yea, evangelized to and in his Ears, that The Seed of the Woman should bruife the Serpent's Head, Gen. 3.15. Now, after this, in Ages and Generations, this Seed was figured out by Types and Shadows, whereby the Faithful were led as by the hand, to look after and wait for the Promised Seed.

A'el in his day offered a Firstling of the Flock, shadowing out the Seed of the Woman, Christ Jesus, the First and the Last, Lord of and in his Flock, who was to destroy the Worls

of the Devil, Gen. 4.

Noah, after the Flood; builded an Altar unto the Lord, and offered clean Beafts and clean Fowl thereon, unto the Lord which the Apostle witnessed sulfilled, when he said, We have an Altar, whereof they have no Right to eat, who serve the Tabernacle, Hebr. 13.10. in which Altar only is offered up a Clean Heart and Holy Hands.

In the dayes of Abraham Circumcision outward was institu-

ted,

ted, Gen. 17.10. a Shadow of a Circumcision without hands in and through Christ.

Isaac builded an Altar, and called on the Name of the Lord,

Gen. 26.25.

Facob erected an Altar, and called it, El Elohe Israel; which is, being interpreted, God, the God of Israel, Gen. 33.20.

And among the other Figures of the first Priesthood, which time fails me to speak of particularly, Moses was commanded to make a Laver of Braf, and to put Water therein, and Aaron and his Sons were to wash their Hands and their Feet therein, lest they dye, Exod. 30.18. &c. Who are of God, they read these things, and see what was pointed out thereby. Now when John was come, who was not the Christ, but apploand to your's, the Fore-runner of Christ, it pleased the Lord, that he should not be without something in his Dispensation, which might point out that which should shortly be revealed, as others before him in their respective Ages and Generations had; and what was that? even to baptize with Water such as repented and believed, to clenfe away the filth of the flesh outwardly; figuring thereby Christ Jesus, who by the Power of his Spirit should purifie, wash and clense iawardly all that received him; fo that Christ being come, who was before Abel, yea, before the Hills and Mountains were; he put an End, not only to Abel's Firstlings, to Noah's Altar, to Abraham's Circumcision, to Jacob's Altar, to Moses's Laver-washing, but also to John's Jordan-washing; yet I say, though these things were but outward, and availed nothing to the purifying of the Conscience, yet inasmuch as they were divine Commands, and Shadows of a future Glory, they were not to be disputed, but practifed, owned and honoured in their time and season: To this purpose wellsaid Bernard, Non attendit verus obediens, quale sit quod præcipitur, hoc solo contentus quia præcipitur: that is, One truly obedient mir.ds not what like the thing is, which is commanded, in this only contenting himself, or acquiricing, because it is commanded.

Now, if John's Water-baptism was a Figure, a Shadow, a Type of Christ, the Life; the Glory; the Treasury of the Evangelical Dispensation, the Head of his Body, the Church, his King-

C

(14)

dom, which stands not in Words, but in Power; not in Shadows, Types and Figures, but in Substance, in Righteousnels, Peace and Joy in the holy Spirit: and that it was a shadow, Writer-have born evidence, and plentifully, then by Christ ended and sulfilled, fo bears no Evangelical Perpetuity.

Hugo Grotius on Mat. 3. calls Water-Bapti fm a Ceremony.

Piscator on John 1. saith, When John had instituted a new ceremony, those that were sent enquired of him, By what Authority he did those things, if he was neither Christ nor Elias.

Repentance and Faith (saith one) were the Qualifications of -John's Typical Baptism, which was in tlain Water, without Oyl, Salt, Spittle, Cream, which are Antick ristian Additaments.

Danvers, in his Treatise of Baptism, calls it, a Sign or a Figure

of the Mystery of the Gospel.

Baxter call, Water-Baptism a Sizn of Regeneration, pag. 117.

Dr. Taylor calls Water-Baptism a Shadow.

The Sacraments of Baptism, and of the Supper of the Lord (saith Juel in his Apologet) we with Tertullian, Origen, Ambrole, Augustine, Jerome, Chrisostom, Basil, Dionisius, and other ancient Fathers, do call them Figures, Ceremonies, Signs, Types, Shadows, Similitudes, Remembrances, &c. And every Type hath its Anti-type, by the which it is ended and fulfilled.

Water-Baptism (saith one) was not instituted to have Grace in-Separably tycd to it, but to figure out the Power of divine Grace; giving these Reasons, 1st, That John made a Distinction, I with Water, Christ with the Spirit. 2dly, Otherwise all the Baptized should be regenerated, which appears otherwise in Simon Mague, A-

nanias, Saphira, &c.

Calvin, in his Institutes, calls Water-Baptism a Sign, a Figure; faying, If men be Partakers of the thing signified, that is, of the Substance, why shall they be with-held from the Sign? If they obtain the Truth, why shall they be driven from the Figure? Lib. 4. Cap. 16. In calling it a Sign, a Figure, befaith, Well, but his Conclusion is Carnal and Antichristian, in saying, if men have the Substance, why should they not have the Figure, by this crocked Rule of Reasoning, might all the ritual Observances of Moses be imposed upon Gospel-Believers.

Hammond,

Hammond, in his Paraphrase and Annotations on Mat. 3.11. calls John's Baptism a Ciremony, saying, John initiated Disciples with Water, but Christ with Fire; yea, and on Mark 1.5, 8. he paraphraseth, saying, Water is the only Signal that John used, after the Jewish manner; but Christ was to send down the holy Spirit from Heaven; and is not Christ the End of Ceremonies, Types, Figures and Shadows? John's Water-Baptism, as all the Shadows of Moses, were but to endure for a time; for as all the Prophets were until John, so John was until Christ, and Christ by his intern al Washing, the Laver of Regeneration, not only sulfilled and ended Moses's Laver, but John's Fordan-washing, by sulfilling inwardly that which they represented outwardly.

Obj. Christ himself was Baptized with Water, doth not that perpetuate Water-Baptism in the Church?

Answ. Christ was Circumcifed, not that from thence Outward Circumcision should be continued; he went into the Temple, and taught there, and cast out the Prophaners thereof; he said to the clenfed Leper, Go and shew thy felf to the Priest, and offer a Gift according to the Law, Mat. 8. so he was baptized, not that he intended thereby to perpetuate or continue these things, Christ being the Substance and Anti-type, owned the Shadows, Types and Figures in their place, and this tended to the Conviction of fuch who questioned the Authority of those Typical Ministrations. Baptizatus est Christus a Johanne, ut Johannis ministerium approbaret, faith Martinius: that is, Christ was baptized by John, that he might approve of the Ministration of John, to justificit in its Time, Place and Season, against such as question'd the Authority of it. So'twassaid, The Pharifees and Lawyers' rejected the counsel of God against themselves, being not baptized of John, Luke 7.30. And Christ put a Query to the Pharifees, faying, The Baptism of John is it from Heaven, or of men? Luke 20.4. Christ owning the Figures in their time and place, proved thereby their Institution to be divine, but all this was before he was offered up; for when he was offered up, then he blotted out the Hand-writing of Ordinances, took it out of the way, nailed it to his Cross, Col.2. 14. When Christ, (faith Piscator on this place) was nailed to the Cros, even then the Ritual Observances of Moses were sore wounded, year abolistied. The first Covenant (saith the Apostle) stood only in Meats and Drings, and divers Washings or Baptisms, and carnet Ordinances, imposed until the time of Reformation, the Figures, which could not perfect the Observers, as pertaining to the conscience, were to give way to the Evangelical and Spiritual VV or ship brought in by the Substance, Hebr. 9. The Gospel-Time is a Time of Reformation; fo such as stick to the Figure, and will not have the b'ack Lines of their Corruptions washed away by the Blood. of the Lamb, fuch remain unreformed. Though Christ owned the Shadows before he was offered up, yet when he was offered up, rifen and ascended, and the Promise of the Father sulfilled, the Spirit, wherewith Christ baptizes, revealed, then these things were not preached up, but against; then Paul preached against circumcision outward, Gal. 5.2. saying, If ye be circumcised, Christ shall profit you nothing; yea, he tell them, they bewitch'd them, who entangled them in the droughty Defert of Ritual Observances; and he preached circumcision inward: Stephen bare record, faying, The Most High dwells not in Temples made with hands. Acts 7. Yea, and Paul said, The Priesthood was changed, Hebr. 7. 12. Yea, and Paulsaid, Christ sent me not to baptize, I Cor. 1. 17. saying further, There is one Lord, one Faith, one Baptisim, Ephef. 4. 5. There had been two Baptisms, John's with Water, Christ's with the Spirit without Water; but now the Shadow had given way to the Substance, one Baptism, saith he; and he tells what it was, by one Spirit into one Body, 1 Cor. 12.13. by one Spirit, not by Water: So that the Spirit's Baptism, which is Christ's Baptism, is the One Baptism which he preached up in the Churches: Who are of God, may read here the Temporary Baptism of John laid aside, and the Spirit's Baptism preached up; Shadows have no footing in Christ's Kingdom. When Jesus was bartized, he went straight-way out of the Water, and the Spirit descended like a Dove upon him, the Spirit wherewith Christ bartizeth; and a Voice from Heaven said, This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased, Mat. 3. and in another place, Hear ye him: Not Moses with his Laver-Washing, not John with his Jor(17)

dan-Washing, hear the Son, who baptizes with the holy Spirit and with Fire, not with visible Water: thus the Legal Rate, which Christallowed of before he was offered up, the Apost'es, after his return in Spirit, they testified against the same, yet in so doing were not Transgressors of Christ's Doctrine, but had his Mind: These things being truly considered, and weighed in the Ballance of the Sanctuary, it cannot but appear, that it shews no soundness of Judgment to plead, that Christ's being baptized by Johz, perpetuates Water-Baptism or Rantism in the Evangelical Dispensation. Paul said to the Collossons, If ye be dead with Christ, from the Rudiments of the world, why as though living in the world are ye subject to Ordinances? touch not, taste not, handle not, Col. 2.20,21. Things that had been of Divine Institution, when continued beyond the Bounds of their season and time, became worldly Rudiments and Doctrines of Men.

Obj. Go ye therefore, and teach all Nations, baptizing them in the Name of the Fat'er, and of the Son, and of the holy Ghost; doth not this Institution enjoyn all Ministers to Baptize?

Answ This Scripture hath been much abused by Translators and Interpreters, and alledged to patronize the Formal Inventions of men, as may appear by what follows, being truly interpreted'tis thus rendred, Go ye therefore, disciple all Nations, baptizing or dipping them into the Name of the Father; and of the Son, and of the holy Spirit: and it makes nothing for Water Baptism; nor for Rantism; for Christ having qualified his Apostles to be his Witneffes to the uttermost parts of the Earth, sent them not to preach up Iohn, and his VV ater-baptism, but to preach the Everlasting Gospel, Christ, and his Baptism, which is spiritual: Iohnsaid and bare record, that he baptized with VV ater; but he that was to come, Christ Jesus, should baptize with the holy stirut. Now, to say, Christ baptized with Water, or sent his Apostles to baptize therewith, is to question the Testimony and Record of John, who said, he should baptize with the holy spirit. After Christ was risen from the Dead, he met his Disciples on a Montain in Galilee, first telling them, that all Power was given unto him in Heaven. and in Earth, then he informed them what their Work should be.

First, To Disciple the Nations; that is, by the Power of their Ministry to bring them to be Disciples of Christ, to learn of him to know his Teaching, to take up his Yoke, to bear the same, to learn Obedience, to come into the self-denying state of Discipleship, so the word originally imports, saith Bullenger, Zwinglim,

Leigh, Fisher, & c. Trapp.

Secondly, He told his Disciples, that they should baptize or dip the Nations, not in the Name, but into the Name of the Father, &c. Dell, Fisher, Hammon, Trapp. And what is it to baptize or dip into the Name of the Father? Even the same that Paul spoke of, when he said, By one shirit we are all baptized into one body, I Cor. 12.13. So that it is the Spirit that baptizeth into one Body, as also into the Name of the Father, to be a living Member of that Body, whereof Christ is the Head; 'tis the Spirit that effects this, not visible Water.

Furthermore, Christ in these words commanded not his Disciple to turn back to John's Ministration, to dip them in Jordan, or in any other River, or in a Bowl, Bason or Font, but into the Name of the Father, &c. which is no other thing then by the Power of the Spirit, whereof they were Ministers, to bring them into the Power of Cod, to have fellowship with him through the Spirit. Now, the Name of God is his Vertue or Power, as, In my Name they shall cast out Devils; that is, in my Power; this

Zwinglius on this place observes.

Teachers in Sprinkling of Infants, which they call Baptism, use this Scripture as a Form of words, saying, Ibaptize thee in the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost; which thing the Apostles did not, which undoubtedly they would have

done, if Christ had commanded it, as an absolute Form.

Further, Zwinglius said of this place, Christus Jesus baptismi, formulam quanteremur, his verbis, non instituit, quemadmodum Theologi hastenus falso tradiderunt: that is, Christ Jesus did not in these words institute a form of Baptism, which we should use, as Divines have hitherto falsy taught. This was the Testimony of Zwinglius, who in his Day was a great Opposer of Anabaptists, Lib.

Lib. de bap. pag. 66. Tom. 2. oper. Piscator on the same place

fpeaksfully to the same purpose.

Again, This place cannot be meant of Water-haptism, because Paul thanked God he had baptized none but such and such; for (faith he) Christ sent me not to baptize, but to preach the Gostel, I Cor. 1.14,15,16,17. yet he sulfilled that, Mat. 28. he preached the Gospel in a circuit from Terusalem to Illyricum, and many by his Ministry were discipled, brought into the state of Disciples, to learn of Christ, yea, and into the Name of God, that is, into his Power; many were baptized into the Name of the Father, as his Sons; into the Name of the Holy Spirit, as his Sanctified Ones; these

knew the One Baptism, by One Spirit, into One Body.

Why doth Paul thank God, that he baptized none but such and fuch? 1st, Because he saw them idolizing and jangling about it, which he knew to be a thing not of absolute Necessity, nor a Command of Christ, but used or born with for a season, for the sake of the Weak, and others, in reference to John's Ministry, if he had commonly practifed it before, from his Practice, they might have been strengthned in their Thoughts about it, he being a great Apostle. Secondly, He thanks God, that he baptized none but such and fuch; And why? Because he was not sent of Christso to do. Thirdly, Left some should say, that he baptized in his own Name, rather into his own Name, as the Greek Copies and divers English Translations render it; seeing from Christ, in whose Name he came, he had no Command fo to do. What Ambassadour of an earthly Prince, commissioned to treat with a forreign Prince, about especial Matters if he fail, in reference to the Contents of his Commission, and treat about Matters whereto he was not commissioned; can he expect that his Prince's Favour should be unto him as Dew upon the Grafs, or rather that his Wrath should be as the Roaring of a Lyon? So if that in Matth. 28. had been a Command obligatory to all Gospel-Ministers, to Baptize with outward Water, could Paul have Cause of Rejoycing in that he fulfilled not the Command? Consider this, and judge.

Obj. That in Mat. 28. must needs relate to outward Water; for the Apostles could not Baptize with the Spirit.

Answ. Who as thus reason, are ignorant of the Ministry of Christ, which is a Ministry of the Spirit; for all that are fent of Christ, through their Ministry people are turned from Darkness to Light, and translated from Death to Life, where Christ's Baptism is known; and this they do as indued with Power from above, John 17.18. As thou hast sent me into the World (saith Christ) even so send I them. And must not Christ and I is Ministers be found in one Work? even to turn people from Satan's power to the Power of God, where the spiritual Baptism is. While Peter preached to Cornelius, and his Kinsmen and near Friends, the holy Spirit fell on them, Alts 10. wherein Christ's Baptism is. Moreover Paul laid his Hands on certain, and the holy Spirit fell upon them, Alts 19. even that Spirit wherewith Christ baptizeth. Yea, and in Acts 26. Paul gave a Relation of his Call, as also of his Work; I (faith the Lord) have appeared unto thee for this purpose, to make thee a Minister: And what was his Work? In preaching to the Gentiles, to open their Eyes, to turn them from Darkness to Light, from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive Forgiveness of Sins, and an Inheritance among them that are sanctified by Faith in Christ Iesus. Paul declared his Commission to preach, and the Effects of it was glorious, Conversion, Faith, Remission of Sins, Sanctification, Salvation; he took not Flesh and Blood into counsel, evil Counsellors for the Soul; but silencing Flesh, entertaining a noble Resolution, he put himself into the hand of God, saying in effect, Te duce, vera sequor; Te duce, falsa nego. Consider, Paul in and through the Virtue of him that sent him, opened peoples Eyes, and turned them from Darkness to Light, and from Satan's power unto God: Were not these Witneffes of the Spirit's Baptilm? Yes; for without the Spirit, and its Baptism, none come to God, nor out of Satans power: Thus Paul discipled the Gentiles, that received his Testimony, and baptized them in and through the Spirit, into the Name of the Father

ther, and of the Son, and of the holy Spirit; and this was and is done, not by Water-baptism without, but by the Spirit's Bap. tism within.

Again, 2 Cor. 3. 6. Paul testifies, That they were made of God able Ministers of the New Testament, not of the Letter, but of the Spirit, even of the Spirit in which Christ's Baptism is revealed: And Faul demanded of the Galatians, faying, Received ye the Spirit by the Works of the Law, or by the hearing of Faith? Gal. 3.2. As if he should have said, True it is, you have received the Spirit, but not by the Works of the Law, but by the hearing of Faith; fo that the Apostles preaching the Word of Faith unto them, they received the Spirit, even that Spirit which baptizeth into one Body.

So that it is clear unto me, that such as alledge this Scripture, Go and teach all Nations, haptizing them in the Name of the Father, & c. to patronize Water-Baptism or Rantism, that is, dipping or sprinkling, in Gospel-dayes, they are Wresters and Perverters of the Scripture; and that this Scripture, as many others, hath in the dark Night of Apostacy been wrested, perverted, abused and mis-interpreted, beside what hath been spoken, may be

more fully made apparent.

Zuinglim and Piscator say expressy, that 'Christ Jesus did not in those words institute a Form of Baptism, and that Divines had

'taught fallly, who held it out as a Form.

One Gulielmin, who was a Roman-Catholick, taught, 'That the Form of Baptism should be thus, I baptize thee in the Name of the Father, of the Son, of the holy Ghost, and of the blessed.

'Virgin Mary, Cent. 13. Mag. 419.

There was a certain Priest, who being a Lack Latin, faid in baptizing, Baptizo te in nomine patria, et filia, et spiritu sancta; which is false Latine, as Latinists know; about this there was no small contention, as, whether the Baptism was lawful, yea or nay, where False Latin was broached; Bonifacius Bishop under Carilus Magnus, was of Opinion, that the Party thus baptized ought to be Re-baptized; upon which Pope Zacharias wrote to Fonifacius, That he should not be Re-baptized, for that not with standing the False Latine, it was True Baptism; he also put him in

mind of the Custom of the Church, that if any were baptized by Hereticks, such should not be re-baptized, but only purged by

Imposition of Hands: Thus False Latin got the Day.

A Bishop of Paris in a Synod expressed himself thus, 'Let Bap-tism be celebrated with Reverence, and let the Priest be very cautious in the Distinction and Pronuntiation of Words, in which 'all the Vertue of the Sagrament and the Salvation of Children 'consists, Statut. Synodal. Odonis Paristensis Episcopi. This is a strange, yea, an unchristian Dostrine, denying the Virgin's Birth, Jesus, by interpretation a Saviour, in whom alone Salvation is, and ascribing the same to Water without, Formal Words, Distinctions and Pronuntiation without.

Obj. The Apostles practised Water-baptism, not only before the coming in of Christ's Baptism, but after, as 'sis evident in the Acts of the Apostles; doth not this infer the continuance of it in Gospeltimes?

Answ. As it was said in a certain Case, so may I fay in this, &diess officium eft, ut res; ita tempora rerum; Quarere ___ that is, A Judge must not only enquire after things, but also after their Times and Scasons: True it is, the Aportles for a scason practifed Water-Baptism, not Rantism, that is, Dipping, not Sprinkling; but whether they did it by Command, or by Permission, hath been a point of controversie: As for me and Thousands more, we believe, that they did it not by Command from Christ, but took it up, and continued it for a season, in relation to John's Water-Baptism, as Paul said in a certain case, 1 Cor. 7. 6. But I Speak this by Permission, and not by Commandment. After the Ascension of Christ some of the Apostles used outward circumcision, not as by Christ's Command, but in relation to Moses, for their sakes (for a scason) who were weak, or otherwise affected, certainly knowing that foon after the Virtue and Purity of Circumcision made without Hands, would put an End to Circumcifion outward; for the Mosaical Shadows and Figures were the most truly put off when t'ey felt the Substance, Christ, the Life, the End of fuch things risen in their mortal Bodies; for, as the Sun-light swallows

up the Moon-light, and as Darkness is chased away when the Sun appears, and mounts up in its lustre, so all outward Shadows, Types and Figures, from the dayes of Righteous Abel to John, were to vanish as the Life of Christ came to be exalted in his Church; John intimated thus much when he said, I must decrease, be must increase: So John's Water-baptism was to decrease, Ichn being a Fore-runner, and his Servant, was to resign up his Baptism to Christ, yea, and as a Servant to deliver all things into his hands, as Heir and Lor: Iohn's Bapt sin reached unto Christ's Kingdom, but hath no place therein; yea, and to bring Shadows and Figures into his Kingdom, is (if rightly understood) antichristian; ser his Kingdom is wholely spicitual, and in his Courchhe is a Bundle of Myth, yea, that very thing which from Abel to Iohn was represented by outward Shadows.

So that those the Apostles baptized, as internally, I am prafwaded, they did it for a season in relation to John's Ministration, for the sake of the Weak, and of others, the Day being but even dawning, which was to put an End unro and sulfil the Laver-Washing, yea, and the Jordan-washing, as all other Shadows from

Abel to that very season,

And that they baptized on this Account, and not by a Com-

mand of Christ, several Grounds induce me to believe:

First, Paul a chosen Vessel, who planted many Churches, yet altogether ceased from John's Ministration of Water-baptism, after that he had used it twice or thrice, as probably all the Apostles did, I Cor. 1.14,15,16,17. he thanks God that he baptized none but such and such, saying expressly, Christ sent bimnes to baptize, dip or plang their Bodies in Oneward Water, though he did it to a few, yet he had no command for it. Hence it necessarily sollows, that he did it by Permission, in reference to John's Ministration, for the sake of the Weak, or of others, and the present time, till the Lord Christ, the End of all Figures, was more sevealed in them: What was he sent to do? To preach the Gospel, Christ, and his Baptism, which washes inwardly, substitute outward Washings.

Secondly, This Paul, who had the Mind of Chuit, held out but

but one continuing Baptilm in the Kingdom of Christ, writing to the Saints at Ephesius, he said, There is one Lord, one Faith, one Bassism, Ephel. 4.5. There had been two Baptisins, Iohn's with Water, Chaift's with the Spirit; yet the one is repealed, and not preached up among them. So to the wife 'in heart I appeal, whether this one Baptism he preached up, was John's with Water, or Christ's with the Spirit; and whatever thou be that fayes that the one Baptisin which the Apostle preached up, was John's Water Baptilm, I tell thee thou denyes Christ, and his Baptilin with the Spirit, and fets John and his Water-baptilin in his Tarone, thou stumblest at the Rock, the Day-Star thou knowest not, nor the Sun of Righteousnels rifer, and the Shadows flown away. Now if any scruple this Scripture, and simply desires to be resolved, whether Baptism he preaches up, the Mouth of Paulin another place may inform thee, 1 Cor. 12.11. By one Spirit we are all baptized into one Body. Here thou may'st be fati-fied, he tells thee, there is One Baptism; he also informs thee, what that one Baptism is, not into lordan, not into a Bowl, Bason or Font, but by the one Spirit into one Body. So to every lecing Eye Iohn's Water-baptism is here laid aside, his Ordinance thereto repeal'd. Now it being that Paul was not fent to baptize with Water, and that he preached up one baptism, and that of the Spirit, it necessarily follows, that whom he baptized with Water, he did it by Permilion in reference to Iohn's Mini-Aration; Using and Instituting are two things; the Apostles Condiscended where they never Commanded.

And whereas some alledge, The Eunuch said unto Philip, Here is Water, what deth hinder me to be baptized? Philip said, If thou believe with all thy heart, thou mayes, Acts 3. 36,37. He saith not thou must; he held it not to be of absolute Necessity, so condescended, as before: The holy Spirit, which seals up to God, was received without Water-baptism, or Rantism; where then

is the Necessity of Dipping or Sprinking?

Now, in reference to what was last objected, several Testimonies consonant to what I here affert, might be produced, and that of such, who were not of mean Account in their Day, their Works by many yet had in esteem.

The

The use of the Ceremonial Law (faith Wellebius in his come 'mon places) was profitable before the Death of Christ; after his Death unto the Promulgation or spreading abroad of the Gospel, it was indifferent, but after the Promulgation or clear epublishing of the Gospel, the observation of Ceremonies is not only unwholfome, and unprofitable, but also deadly. Hence Paul at the beginning, would have Timothy Circumcifed, because of the weakness of the fews, Atts 16. 2. but after that the Gospel was more fully Preached; he would not yield that Titus I should be Circumcised, Gal. 2. And saith he, The Observation of Iewish Ceremonies would now be nothing but a denying of the Coming and Death of Christ. So there was a time (fay I) when lordan-washing was profitable; there was a time, when it was indifferent, in which time the Apostles made some use of it; and there is a time, when it may be faid to be Antichristian, in pleading for the outward Washing, when the Laver of Regeneration, filled with the Water of Life, for the clenfing of the inward Man, is fer open, and when performed in that Spirit which is at Enmity unto Christ.

Wilfred, a Presbyter, reasoning with Bishop Coleman, about the time of the celebration of Easter, said, 'God forbid we should 'accuse the Apostle of Folly, when he observed the Precepts of 'Moses; the Church as yet Indaizing in many things; neither 'were the Apostles on a sudden able to abolish all Legal Observances, which had been of Divine Institution: On this account Paul 'circumcised Timothy, offered in the Temple, purished himself, 'allowed of Head-shaving, had his Head shorn at Centrea, prositable to no other end, but to avoid ministring of Offence to the 'fens, who being weak saw not the End of these things; in the

year 654.

Piscator on Acts 16.3. saith, 'After the Example of Paul and 'Timothy', that the Weak may be gained, let us not resulte to sub-'mit unto troublesome things, which otherwise we might with a

egood Conscience forbear.

Taul. (faith Trapp on 1 Cor. 9.) became all things to all men that he might fave some; and how? not in conforming to their impieties, but in the Use of things indifferent, in Bowels of E

(26)

*Compassion to them. Paul (saith he) harp'd much of this String, out of a servent and ardent Desire to gain Souls to the Lord.

'Though many Legal Types (faith Gell) continued some confiderable time after Christ's Death, yet they lost their positive and obligatory Power, and were used only as Adiaphora, things indifferent, in Compliance with the inveterate Prejudices of new Converts, lately brought over from Judaism, who could not quickly lay aside that great Veneration, which they had for the Rites of Mosaik Institutions.

Obj. Peter baptized some Gentiles after that the Spirit was falm upon them; doth not this perpetuate Water-Baptism?

Answ. True it is, while Peter preached the Everlasting Gospel unto the Gentiles, the Gift of the Holy Spirit was poured out upon them; then said Peter, Can any man forbid Water, that these should not be baptized, which have received the Holy Spirit as well as we? Acts 10.44, &cc. The Grounds and Reasons why Water-Baptism, John's Ministration, was some time used for a seafon in the primitive times by the Apostles, are before mentioned: I do not believe, that Peter had any more Command for Water-Baptilin then Paul had, who faid expresly, Christ sent me not to baptize, but to preach the Gospel: Yea, and this Paul faid, Acts 20.27. I have not shunned to declare unto you the whole Counsel of God. It feems John's Ministration of Water-Baptism's Continuance was not of his Counsel: Where did he exhort Timothy, Titus, Philemon, or any of the Churches he wrote Epistles to, to continue Water-baptism? on the contrary he shews it was fulfilled, ended, repealed, as hath before been spoken to, though in Relation to John's Ministration, and in Compliance with the prefent State, he baptized some few, as others upon the same grounds did. Paul circumcifed Timothy; yet in 1 Cor. 7.19. he faith, Circumcision is nothing, and Uncircumcision is nothing; but the keeping of the commandments of God: The same may be said of Water-baptism, 'Tis not Dipping, or Not Dipping, but the keeping of the Commandments of God; this is the bonum hominis, the

the totum hominis, the one thing necessary, 'twas not easie to bring them from what had been commanded, but as Truth discovered it self to be the Substance thereof: Paul writing to the Galatians faid, How turn ye again to the weak and beggarly Elements, whereunto ye desire again to be in Bondage? Gal. 4.9. What is earthly and beggarly is not of power to enrich with spiritual Blessings: Water is an Element, & appertains to the elementary Part in man, which is to pass away, in the Revelation of the Substance, which turns out the earthly within, and earthly Rudiments without, establishing a Kingdom in Righteousness and Substance, out of Figures and Shadows. Peter never ascribed Regeneration to outward Water; neither did he preach, Trat Children (damnatiprinsquam nati; that is, damned before they be born, as the Doctrine is now) by sprinkling Water upon them, are made Members of Christ, Children of God, and Inheritors of the Kingdom of Heaven; but he ascribed Regeneration to the Eternal Word, faying, Being born again, not of corruptible Seed, but of incorruptible, by the Word of God, which lives and abides forever, I Pet. 1.23.

Peter, a Fisher of Men, having caught a Draught of Gentiles, was right-glad thereof; so in Compliance with the present Seafon, as others did, condescended to Outward Water, which wathes the Outward Man, and might take Occasion therefrom to exhort them to wait for an Encrease of the Spirit's Virtue and Power, the Heavenly Baptism, which washeth the Inward Man, for inward cleanling; the Effect of the Spirit's Baptism is a gradual Work.

The contract and product the state of

The Third Affertion.

Christ's Baptism in or with the Spirit only, is the Baptism that is ca contituue in the Church to the End of the World.

JOhn being the Fore-runner of Christ, according to the Father's Appointment, was to baptize with Outward Water, John 1. 33. to wash away the Filth of the Outward Man, shadowing out Christ ready to be revealed, and by his spiritual Baptism to cleanse the Inward Man, to make it a Habitation of God; and this Water-baptism was to be of less Continuance then the Shadows of Moses, as the Morning-Star, though brighter then the other Stars, shines less while then they, because the sudden Appearance of the Sun swallows it up: So John's Water-baptism though its Beginning was long after Circumcifion, commanded in Abraham's dayes, and the Shadows of the First Priesthood, commanded in the dayes of Moses, yet was it to have an End with them: for whenas after Christ's Ascension, Christ's Baptism began, cleaning inwardly, then John's Baptism, a Figure thereof was ended and fulfilled, the Shadow was to give way to the Substance, the Sign to the Truth, the Letter to the Spirit, the Servant to the Son; for, as the Prophets were until John, so John was until Christ; and John must no more exceed his Bounds, then Moles and the Prophets theirs; as the Prophets gave up to. John, so John was to give up to Christ: John, a Creature, had a Temporary Baptism; but Christ, who is the same to day, vesterday and forever, hath an Everlasting Baptism, by the Spirit into one Body: and Christ's Kingdom stands not in Signs, Figures and Shadows, but in Righteousness, Peace and Joy in that Spirit wherewith he baptizeth.

Now, after Iohn's Water-Baptisin had continued some 4 Years, then Christ's Baptism with the Spirit, without Water, began to be manisested, as you may see Acts 1.5. Christ told his Disciples, saying. John truly baptized with Water, but ye shall be bapti-

were not to stick in lown's Baptisin, much less in Rantisin, a Tradition then unheard of, nor build their Tarbernacles there, nor judge it sufficient, but were to wait for the Promise of the Father, even the Spirit, wherewith Christ baptizeth; the plentiful effusion of the Spirit was received as the great Blessing of Gospel-days, of the Evangelical State and Dispensation, which is to run parallel with the Age and Duration of the World; herein the Everlast-

ing Gospel is preached to Kindreds Tongues and Nations.

T is precious Promise of the Father was accomplished and sulfilled at the Day of Pentecost, when the Disciples were waiting together in one Place, Cloven Tongues, like as of Fire, sate upon each of them, and they were all fixed with the Holy Spirit, and spare with other Tongues, as the Spirit gave them Utterance, Alts 2. 1,2,3,4. Thus it was evident and manifest, that Iohn the Baptist was a true Prophet, who said, Ibaptize with Water, but Christ shall baptize with the Holy Spirit and with Fire. Christ, Atts Chap. 1. bad them wait for the promise of the Father; he told them what the Promise was, even the Baptism with the hely Spirit; and they received what they waited for at the Day of Pentecost, Atts 20. they were filled with the holy Spie rit, which was Christ's Baptism without Water; mark this, without Water, more excellent then Iohn's Baptism with Water. Now Baptists and Rantists, Dippers and Sprenklers, for the mon part are faln into that Diminution, Deprivation, or utter Abolution of divine Understanding, as that it seems ridiculous, or al ogether erroneous, to tell them of a Baptim without Water: This of Pentecost was the beginning of Christ's Baptism, after he was ascended; to this John witnessed, saying, Joh. 7.39. He that believeth on me (faid Christ) out of his Belly shall flow Rivers of living Water: but this spake he of the Spirit, which they that believe on him should receive; for the holy Spirit was not yet given, because that Jesus was not yet glorified; but as soon as he was glorified, then he began to let out the Power of his Spirit, even his Baptism, the Progress of Christ's Spiritual Baptism was further manifested, as Asts 8. 17. Peter and John laid their hands on the Believing Samaritans, and they received the holy Spirit;

(30)

after this the Apostle Peter preached Christ to Corneliu, his Family and Friends, the holy Spirit fell upon them, Acts 10.44. Moreover, all the Saints at Kome, at Corinth, in Galatia, in Ephesus, in Philippi, in Coloss, in Pontus, in Capadoria, in Asia, in Bithynia, yea, and all the true Churches were in their respective Measures Partakers of the Spirit of the Lord, and of its Baptism, which was and is the One Baptism to continue in the Church of Christ to the End of the World; for, in the Church, the Kingdom of Christ, the Apostles preached not up Circumcision nor Baptisms, but one Circumcision made without Hands, one Baptism by the Spirit into one Body, and of the Necessity of the Continuance of the Spirit's Baptism in the Church of Christ, let the Wise in Heart, who read these things, consider:

First, Without the Spirit's Baptism, none come to see the Volumn of Corruptions in his Heart to be cancelled, none escape the Bondage, Slavery, Darkness, Desilements and Reprobate State of corrupt Nature, but who walk in the Light, wherewith Christ hath enlightned them, and submit to its Guidance, they encrease in heavenly Encreases, they grow in the Spirit, and feel its Baptism, so receive a New Nature, and their Thoughts, Words and Works are savoury, Effects and Fruits of this new and heavenly Nature: Peter wrote to the Saints who were Partakers of the Divine Nature, 2 Pet. 1.4. Here the Image of God is renewed, the Life of God known, Fellowship with him in his Holiness and Purity, yea, in his Blessedness, in the beatistical Presence and Brightness of his Glory, this Outward Washing, though a Thousand times over, can never bring unto, but leaves the old Nature, the cursed Nature, alive and vigorous in the Creature.

Secondly, Christ's Baptism gives a New Name, who hearken to Wildom's Teaching, and in the Cross of Christ see Sin crucified in their Mortal Bodies, and with the Sword of the Spirit, in which the Evangesical Baptism is, see the Enmity slain, such receive a New Name; To him that overcomes, will I give to eat of the bidden Manna; I will give him a white Stone, and in the Stone a New Name written, which no man knows saving he that receives it,

Rev.

Rev. 2.17. The Lord's Worthies are fed with Meavenly Manna, hidden from the Wise, from the Prudent, from the Princes of this World; they have a White Stone, in which they are comforted, notwit istanding at the black Coles, wherewith the world seeks to befinear them; this Stone may spiritually be called Eben-ezer, by interpretation, the Stone of Help; these have a New Name, better then that of Sons and Daughters, Isa. 56.5. Here, not thorough Willing, Running and carnal Conformity, but through Faith, the Spirit of Adoption is received, wherein Christ's Baptish is witnessed; and because you are Sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son, crying, Abba Father, Gal. 4.6. God hath no Still-born Children; the Spirit of Grace is a Spirit of Supplication, to this New Name, Adoption, Cry of Abla Father, Outward Washing cannot bring; 'tis a rick, yea, an enriching Git, precived by the Hand of Faith, Rom. 8.15.

Thirdly, The Spirit's Baptisin must continue in the Church, otherwise no true Worship, without it the true Worship is not known; the Woman of Samaria acknowledging Christ to be a Prophet, forth-with she sought to be satisfied in a Case of Conscience, concerning Worshipping in that Mountain, or in feru-Salem, Christ said unto her, The hour cometh, when ye shall neither iothis Mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem, worship the Father; for God is a Spirit, and they that wor hip him, must wor hip him in Spirit and in Truth, John 4. 24. How? In Spirit, in Opposition to the carnal and idolatrous Worship of the Samaritans, who worshipped God under the Representation of a Dove: How must God be worshipped? In Truth, in Opposition to the Typical and Figurative Worship of the Jews, which was but a Shadow of the Gof, e'-worship. Paul bare record unto this Worship, saying, We are the Circumcision, which wor ship God in the Spirit; as truly it may be said, They are of Christs Baptism, who worship God in the Spirit; such as have their Luxuriances lopped of, unruly Passions mortified, and cast away as a superfluous Fore-skin; such are inwardly circumcifed, inwardly baptized by the One Spiris into One Body, in which the true Worsh ip is.

Fourthly, The-Spirit's Baptisis must continue in the Church else no Translation into the Kingdom of Light; 'tis through the Spirit that Entrance is known thereinto: fo that the Doctrine of Men, who preach, teach and affirm, that sprinkling of Infants, which they call Baptism, m kes a M. wher of Christ, a Child of God, an Inheritor of the Kingd mof Heaven, is an antichristian Do-Arine, setting outward Warer in the place of the Spirit of Christ, whole Work only translates into the Kingdom of God; fo that the Apost'e Paul gave thanks to Got, who had delivered them from the power of Darkeels, and had translated them into the Kingdom of bie dear Son, Col. 113. So'tis the Power of God, who is a Spexit, that translates into the Kingdom of Christ; not extrinsecal, that is, outward water, as many erroneously teach; fuch as truly have a Lot herein, though through the Malice of the old-Serpent, they be cast into a dark Dungeon, yet may say in Faithfulness and Verity, as Oecolampadeus upon his Death-bed sail. Hie fat lucis, Here within is plenty of Divine Light.

Fifehly, The Baptism of the Spirit makes the Heart the Lord's Temple, outward Water tends not to the cleaning of the inside; but in the Faith the Spirit is received, which baptizes into one Body: Paul wrote to the Corinthians, saying, Know ye not that ye are the Temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dreseth in you? and if any man desile the Temple of God, him shall God desiroy; for the Temple of God is holy, which Temple ye are, 1 Cot. 3. 16,17. And this Temple is not washed by John's Ministration of outward Water, but by Christ's Ministration of the Water of Life, 'tis swept by the Lord's Power, 'tis beautisted with Holines, persundand sweetned with Heavenly Graces, like Incense and Myrrh; the Lord will dwell in a poor, provided it be a pure Heart, 1 Cot. 6.19, 20.

Sixthly, The Spirit's Baptism enables to the Work of the Go-spel-Ministry; not Artsnor Sciences, nor Humane Endowments; and Aristotle's Ethicks, nor his Physicks, nor his Metaphysicks, makes a Minister of Christ, but the Virtue of the Spirit, wherewith Christ baptizeth; The Spirit of the Lord is upon me (faith Christ)

(33)

Christ) he hath encinted me to preach the Goffel to the Poor; fee-Luke 4 18. even the Gospel, a Word of Excellency, Authority, Certainty and Stifficiency: yea, and Christ said to his Disciples, Iof nerally bastized with Water, but ye shall be baptized with the ho-1) Spirit net many dayes herce; and ye shall receive Power, after that the holy State is come upon you; and ye shall be Witnesses unto me both in Jerusalem, and in all Judea, and in Samaria, and unte the uttermost parts of the Earth, Acts 1. 5, 8 Confider this, Chrift himself here calls Baptism of the Spirit, the Power qualifying and enabling them to be his Witnesses. Yea, and 2 Pet. 1. 21. Holy Men of God facks as they were moved by the holy Spirit; they were acted, carryed out of the Dungeon of Self, whence iffue Dreams & Uncertainties, to fay & do what God would have them. Yea and on the Day of Pentecost, Cloven Tongues like as of Fire. fate upon each of the Disciples, & they were all filled with the holy Spirit, and spake as the Spirit gave them Utterance, Act, 2. Thus were they baptized with the Spirit, Christ's Baptism, without Water, Yokn's Baptism; and they were taught, both igso outers, to divide the Word aright, as also igs omoden, to foot it aright, to teach well, and live well; fo that in the fense of God's Innocency wrought in them, they commended them-felves as Examples for others to follow. Yea, and 1 Per. 4. 10. As every man hath received the Gift, even so minister the lame one to another, as good Stemards of the manifold Grace of God. Cloups when full pour down, Prefies over flow, Aromatical Trees sweat out their precious and soversign Oyle; so the divinely qualified Witnesses bring out rich Treasures, even lively and life-giving Oracles; this is through the Spirit's Baptism, not through Acquired Attainments and Accomplishments.

Seventhly, The Spirit's Baptism brings into the true Service of God; for no Kindred, Tongue, Language, Nation, Family, Men or Woman hath an entrance into the pure Service of God; but inasmuch as they feel the Spirit of the Lord in them, moving Heaven-ward; for, as the Apostle said, Entrow me are delivered from the Law, that being dead wherein we were held, that vve snowld serve in nowness of Spirit, and not in the eldness of

(34)

the Letter, Rom. 7.6. The true service of God is in the newness of that Spirit wherewith Christ baptizeth, in which man being kept, he holds constantly a Countermotion to the course of the World, to the torrent of its reigning corruptions, in this, Bodies are presented a living Sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is their reasonable service, Rom. 12. 1. a living Sacrifice instead of a Ram.; sinsul Passions are here slain instead of a Goat; unclean affections, instead of slying Fowls; vain Thoughts, soaring Perposes, wandring Imaginations are slain; this is read in those Bodies that are this living Sacrifice.

Eighthly, The Spirits Baptism makes all that partake of it one in and with Christ, the Head: Christ prayed to his Father, faying, John 17.11. Holy Father, keep through thy own Name those whom thou hast given me, that they may be one, as we are; he prayed for their Oneness, who was heard of his Father: and Verse 20, 21. Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on me through their word; that they all may be one, as thon, Father art in me, and I in thee, that they all may be one in ws. No true Unity is out of the Lords Name; it is a strong Tower, a Munition of Rocks; here fecurity and safeguard is known, a fure and sweet Haven to have recourse unto. After Christs Ascension, when the Spirit was received with which Christ baptizeth, the Churches were Witnesses of this Oneness; so Paul told the Galatians, Chap. 3. 27, 28. As many of you as have been baptized into Christ, have put on Christ; there is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither Bond nor Free, there is neither Male nor Female; for ye are all one in Christ Jesus. These were elothed with the Sun; these were beautiful through divine Ornaments: Consider this, it was not through Water-baptism, but through the Spirits baptism, that Christ was put on, that Oneness was witnessed. And 1 Cor. 12.13. By one Spirit we are all baptized iente one Body: So who become living Members of that Body whereof Christ is the Head, and feel themselves to be of the Corporation and Company of Believers, 'tis through the Spirits Baptism, not through outward Water. And 1 Cer. 6. 17. He that is joyaed unto the Lord is one Spirit. Forther, Paul to the Ephefiens. of Peace, Epl es. 4. 3. So the Unity and Unanimity is in the Spirit, in which Christs Baptism is.

Ninthly, The Spirits Baptism cleanseth from sin and iniquitv, to fulfils inwardly what John's Water-baptism shadowed forth outwardly; 1 Cor. 6.9, 10, 11. Paul wrote to the Corinthians, faying, Know ye not that the Unrighteous shall not inherit the Kingdom of God: it is an undefiled Inheritance, the Unclean must not tread on that golden Pavement. And this Apostle having branched out fuch and fuch Workers of Iniquity, he concludes thus, And such were some of you, but ye are washed, but ye are sanstified, but ye are justified in the Name of the Lord Jesus, and by the Spirit of our God. Consider this, when they came to: be Partakers of the Spirit, to know its Baptism, then they came to be washed, fanctified, yea, and justified also. The same Apostle wrote to the Saints at Epheliu, saying, Christ loved the Church, and gave himself for it, that he might sanctifie and cleanse it with the washing of Water, by the Word, that he might present it to himself a glerious Church, without Blemish, Spot or Wrinkle. Now 'tis not Water without, though man were washed a thoufand times therewith, but the Spirit of the Lord, wherewith Christ baptizeth, where a Well of Water is known springing up. unto eternal Life, that effects these things in the Church.

Tenthly, The Spirits Baptism makes true Christians; so deny it, and sweep true Christianity from off the Earth. Paul writing to the Romans said, He is not a sew which is one outwardly, neither is that Circumcisson which is outward in the Flesh; but he is a sew which is one inwardly, and Circumcisson is that of the Heart, in the Spirit, and not in the Letter, whose Praises not of man, but of God: and as truly it may be said, He is not a Christian that is one outwardly, neither is that Baptism which is outward in the Flesh; but he is a Christian which is one inwardly, and Baptism is that of the Heart, and not in the Letter, whose Praise is not of men, but of God: Gods Eye and Regard is to the inward Iew, and the inward Circumcision, to the inside Christian, to the

(36)

inward Baptism; but mans Eye and Regard who knows not the Laver of Regeneration, is to the out-fide Christian, to outward Baptism; for of the many Eplscopalians, Presbyterians, Independents, Baptists, and others, who have written of Baptism and Rantism, that is, dipping and sprinkling, few if any have written of the Baptism of Christ, with the Spirit, which John the Baptist, Christ, Peter, Paul, &c. bare witness to; the Virgins Birth was by the Angel unto Joseph named Joseph, by interpretation a Saviour; for faith he, He shall save his People from their Sins, Matth. 1.21. After he was baptized of John in Jordan, and the holy Spirit descended like a Dove upon him, from this divine Anointing, which came upon him, he came to be called Melsias and Christ, which both signific anointed; Christ in Greek, as Messias in Hebrew, fignifies anointed, because be was anointed of the Father: Peter bare record of Christ, saying, God arointed Tel us of Nazareth w th the holy Scirit and with Fower, who went about doing good, and healing all that were oppressed of the Devil; for God was with him, Acts 10. 38. Thus Jesus was the Anointed of the Father, the Christ, the Messias, and him the High. Priests, Prophets and some Kings under the Law, anointed with material Oyl, represented: so Christians signifies Anointed Ones; and none are truly Christians, but as they partake of the Anointing, whereby they become Members of Christ, spiritually influenced by Christ their Head, so become Kings and Priest unto God. The Disciples (as Acts 11.26.) were called Christians first in Antioch, called so by divine Direction, as the word signifies, faith Trapp on this place; the same Anointing which came upon Jesus, which God gave not by measure unto him, Joh. 3 34. the same Anointing is given in measure to the Members of Christ, of his Fulness they receive Grace for Grace; these are true Chrifians, truly Anointed Ones, Hebr. 1.9. Thou haft loved Righteon(ness, and hated Iniquity, therefore God, even thy God, hath anointed thee with the Oyl of Gladness above thy Fellows. Thus real Christians partake of the Anointing in their respective Measures; Christians are Christ's, and they that are Christs, have crucified the Flesh, with the Affections and Lutts, Gal. 5 24. Now such as take not up the Cross, but live in the Flesh, in the carnalizing PrinPrinciple, and in this profess the Scriptures, God, Christ, and his Ordinances, so become swel'd with historical Knowledge and Notions, and hence conclude themselves Christians; I say, they are not really so, Nonre, sed nomine Christians; Not real, but nominal Christians, in Name only; even as such, who said, they were Jews, but were not, but were the Synagogue of Satan, Rev. 2.9. The Turks at this day stile themselves Muslimans, that is, The only true Believers: The Angel of the Church of Sardis was thus reproved, Thouhast a Name that then livest, but art dead, Rev. 3.1. so could neither praise nor serve the living God: A Ship may be called Safeguard of Goodspeed, yet may sall into the Hand of Pirats: So specious Names of Christians, Believers, Church-members, advantage nothing, except the Cross of Christ be borne, the Anointed received, which truly makes such.

Eleventhly, The Spirits Baptism saves, is present Salvation: Now who contend for John's Ministry, Water-baptism, be is Dippers or Sprinklers, their discourse is of what the Saints enjoyed in the primitive times, and of what Salvation shall be in another World, not waiting for Salvation and Redemption in this Life; but they that live not the Life of the Righteous, shall not dye the Death of the Righteous, nor shall have the Reward of the Righteous: but the Apostle Paul preached present Salvation, not by John's Baptism, or Water-washing, but by the Baptism of Christ, through the renewing of the Spirit, Titms. S. According to his Mercy he saved us, by the Laver or Washing of Regeneration and renewing of the holy Spirit: To that, fo far as a man is regenerated, renewed by the holy Spirit, which is Christs Baptism, so far he is faved from fin, and from the Reward thereof: this Laver of Regeneration fulfils inwardly what Moses's Laver and John's Jordanwashing shadowed forth outwardly: this Laver of Regeneration removes the old Nature, and brings in a new Nature, whence issues new Thoughts, new Desires, new Words and new Works; he lives, thinks, speaks, works, loves and acts otherwise then he was wont; the Old Man and his Deeds are put off, the New Man and his Deeds are put on; Outward Water is not of that virtue to effect this in man, 'tis a Water of a more Heavenly Na-

ture:

ture; Paul writing to the Saints at Ephesus, said, By Grace ye are: Leved, through Faith, and that not of your selves, it is the Gift of God, Ephef. 2. 8. These were Witnesses of present salvation; they had known ft rrings in the Womb of Grace, precious unto God, had persevered therein, so became God's Workmanship. to know the gloriou Fabrick of the New Man erected in them; these were truly made alive unto God, who had lain rotting and stinking in the sepulchre of Corruptions; these knew Christ's. spiritual Baptism; to this purpose Peter bare record, saying, The like Figure whereunto even Baptism doth also now save; not the putting away of the Filth of the Flesh, but the Answer of a good Conscience towards God, by the Resurrection of Jesus Christ. Feter here speaks of the Ark of Noah; which by Command of the Lord was made of Gopher wood, Gen. 6. 14. akind of Cedar, faith the old Naturalist Pliny, which is not subject to Rottenness nor Wormeatenness, but endures forever; a shadow of Christ Jesus, who is the same to day, yesterday and sorever: so the Ark was a Figure of Christ, as it saved by Water outwardly: so Christ by his Baptism, the Water of Regeneration saves inwardly from the Deluge of fin, from the drowning Waves of iniquity and transgreffion. Baptism saves now, faith Peter: But what Baptism doth. he speak of? of John's with Water, or of Christ's with the Spirit? the Apostle answers this, lest any should misapprehend his fense and intent, he explains himself evidently and fully, saying, Not the putting away the Filth of the Flesh, the cleaning of the outward man, the effect of John's Baptism, but the Answer of 2 good Conscience towards God, the effect of Christs Baptism in and with the Spirit, which inwardly faves, and brings to know and witness the Answer of a good Conscience, by the Resurrection of Jesus Christ, 1 Pet. 3.9, 20, 21.

Piscator on Matth. 3. gives his Testimony altogether answerable to what is here written, saying, 'That the Apostle Peter here' ascribes Salvation to inward Baptism, not to the outward, which

extends no further then the outward man.

Hammond, in his Paraphrase and Annotations on the New Testament, saith on the aforesaid place, 'As the Ark in the Water 'kept men safe from drowning, even so Baptism doth save; not that (39)

cthat of John, which cleansed but the Body, but that which is fignified by it, even the Baptism of Christ with the Spirit, who saves from sin, and from the wages thereof.

Obj. The Baptism here poken of by Peter, must needs be Waterbaptism, because he casts it a Figure; for it were not proper to call the Spirits Baptism a Figure.

Answ. I confess, while I had recourse only to our English Translations, this before was my own Objection; but consulting the Greek Copies, the thing was cleared unto me, and our English Translations appeared not so found and clear as might be defired; Peter speaking of the Preservation of 8 Souls in the Ark by Water, faith, if truly translated, Whose Antitype Baptism doth also now save 363; And what is this Antitype? even Christ, who baptizes with a spiritual and faving Baptism, even Christ, the Truth, the Sum, the Substance, the Thing signified by Types and Figures; Circumcision outward was a Type, Circumcision inward the Antitype; Moses's Laver a Type, the Evangelical Laver of Regeneration the Artieype; Anointing without a Type, Anointing within the Antitype; the Ark saving by Water without, a Type, Christ by his spiritual Baptilm faving from the proud Billows of Wickedness and Uncleanness is the Antitype; so that the Antitype comes in lieu, stead, place or room of the Type: distract is the Greek word, and aill signifies pro as well as contra; υπαί @ Conful, arθυπά. @-Proconsul, the Consuls Deputy, one that officiates for him; these things Linguists understand: so that though our English Translation renders it, The like Figure whereunto even Bastism deth also now sevem; yet it is properly, truly and answerable to the nature of Truth rendred, Whose Antitype Baptism doth also now save us, even as the Ark saved them of old. And if any say, This is but a Conceit or Whimsie of my own Head, let such read Gerh. on this word, who faith, allirerou in hoc loce ipsam veritatem sig-'nificat, typo ac figuræ respondentem; that is, Antitype in this place of Peter, signifies the Truth it self, answering to the Type and Figure: Truth, that is Christ, the Way the Truth and the Life, who baptizeth with the Spirit, and saves from sin, as the Ark saved

outwardly. Vatabalus, Grotius, Erafmus, Capedius, Beza, Ham-

mond, gave their Testimonies to the same purpose.

If this Scripture of Peter held out a Figure or Type, then one Type would answer another, which were improper; for every shadow, type or figure, relates to the substance as its Fulfiller. Again, it were to conclude the Gospel-Dispensation, to be a state of figures and shadows, which is the sam and substance of all; the Kingdom of God stands not in Meat and Drink, but in Righteoufness, Peace and Joy in the holy Spirit, wherein the Redeemed cry Abba Father, Rom. 14. Visibles are temporal, as Moses's Laver-washing, John's Jordan-washing, imposed only until the Time of Reformation; but Invisibles are eternal, as the Spirit of the Lord is eternal, in which Christs Baptism is; such as center in temporals, that Eye being thut which fees into the beginning, before the temporals, these are but as an House without Pillars, tottering with every Blast, as a Ship without Anchor, tossed with every Wave; for the Establishment is in that which is Eternal.

- Whose Antitype Baptism duth also now save us. By this Scripture (as all the wife in heart understand) Peter puts an End to Baptism of the Flesh, as Paul did to Circumcision of the Flesh; for faith Paul, Rom. 2. 28, 29. He is not a Jew which is one outwardly, neither is that Circumcifion which is ontward in the Flesh; but he is a Jew which is one inwardly, and Circumcision is that of the Heart, in the Spirit, and not in the Letter, whose Praise is not of men, but of God: which in effect is this, In Gospel-dayes, where all shadows are fulfilled, Circumcision in the Spirit puts an end to Circumcision in the Fiesh, in effect Peter saith as much of Baptism; Baptism (faithhe) saves, but not that Baptism which puts but away the Filth of the Flesh, but that Baptism of Christ in the Spirit, where the Answer of a good Conscience is known: Yea, by this Peter intimates, that Baptism in the Spirit puts an to Baptism in the Flesh; for of a Truthit may be said, Heisnot a Christian who is one outwardly, neither is that Baptism which is outward in the Flesh; but he is a Christian which is one inwardly, and Baptism is that of the Heart, in the Spirit, and not in the Letter, whose Praise is not of men, but of God: For neither

ther Moles's Laver-shawing, nor John's Jordan-washing, could bring inward Cleanness and Parity of Conscience; therefore Christ put an end to all outward shadows, figures and Types, by the Power of his Spirit inwardly effecting and fulfilling that which they in an outward manner shadowed out. Many bear record in Truth to the Apostles Testimony, who said, The Law made nothing perfect, but the bringing in of a better hope, by the which we draw nigh unto God, Hebr. 7. 19. Here is the perfecting of the Children of Light; here they are truly joynted whom Satur had dislocated. Panl, who was not sent to baptize, but to preach the Everlasting Gospel, a more weighty and ponderous Concern, he had his Call from Heaven; in writing to the Church at Rome, he speaks of Gifts differing, and exhorts every one to be faithful; but of baptizing with Water, hespeaks not; but in other places fets up the Spirit's Baptisto, as conducing to the Salvafion of the Soul.

So who are ferious in the things of God, cannot but conclude from what is spoken, that the Spirit's Baptism is the only Baptism, peculiar to Gospel-dayes, and that the continuance thereof is of absolute necessity, whatever you be that deny it, to and in the Church, you shut out of the Church the divine Nature, the new Name, the true Worship, Translation into the Kingdom of God, the Lords Temple, the Gospel-Ministry, the true Service of God, true Unity, inward cleanfing, true Christianity, present Salvation: and what is that Church, and what are the respective Members thereof, that are destitute hereof? Out-fides, Formalists, toffed to and fro, as a Feather or Froth upon the Waves, hurried about with every Wind of Doctrine, like Glaffes blown into this or that shape at the pleasure of mans breath; what are Professors that are destitute of the Spirit and its Baptism? as hollow Trees in an old Wood, tall, but pithless, sapless and unfound. What are these lifeless Formalists? as a Bulrush,

whose Colour is fresh, Skin smooth, Body knotless, yet nothing is within but a kind of spongeous unsubstantial substance. The Swan in the Law was rejected for sacrifice, as some affirm, because of her Black Skin under White Feathers: thus it is with Unscrip-

turalized Out-fide Formalists; their Performances, how specious

foever, black Death reigning within, brings them not unto divine Acceptance, their most Religious Duties and Performances issue from Art and Acquired Parts, not from divine In-dwellings, who then touched with the fense and possession of the Lord's Presence. and with the Virtue of his spiritual Baptism, can be silent? And not, as the Lord's Worthies contend for it, of the which fuch excellent things are spoken; if Christ must have preheminence in all things, if he be All in his Church, beautified out of his Wardrobe, why is Outward Water fo much magnified in Gospel-dayes? Christ is sufficient to and in his Church, without John, though John was of use in his season, to point out Christ to come; so the Baptism of Christ, without visible Water, is sufficient in his Church, without the Baptism of John with Water, though the Baptism of John with Water was useful in its season, being a shadow of a better thing to come, even of Christs Baptism with the Spirit, who said, He that believer on me, out of his Belly shall flow Rivers of living Water: but this spake be of the Spirit, which Believers in him should receive, John 7. 38, 39. So that Believers receive the Spirit; fo Christs Baptism, living Water is to flow out of the Womb of their Souls; they know the precious Virtue thereof, its Cooling, Cleanling, Thirstquenching and Fructifying Virtue; they know the fulfilling of Moses's Laver and John's Jordan-Washing in their Mortal Bodies.

The Fourth Assertion.

Rantism, that is, Sprinkling of Infants, is a Case unpresidented in the Primitive times, an Irreptitions Custom, sprung up in the Night of Apostacy, after the Falling Away from the Primitive Order.

Have already shewed the Temporary Baptism of John, and have likewise spoken of the Baptism of Christ with the Spirit, and that this Baptism put an end to John's Water-baptism, as to the other shadows; so that to and in the gathered Churches one Baptism was preached, and that by one Spirit into one Body, the shadow being left off: now it remains that I inform you, how Dipping, which was John's Ministration came to be re-assumed, and likewise how Sprinkling of Infants got entrance among the Professers of Christianity.

Christ being upon the Mount of Olives with his Disciples, told them, that False Christs and False Prophets should come, Mat. 24. which John seeing sulfilling and sulfilled, said expressly, they were come, saying, Little Children, it is the last time; and as ye have heard that Antichrist shall come, even now are there many Antichrists, whereby we know that it is the last time, 1 John 2. 18.

Paul in the Spirit of Discerning fore-saw and fore-told of an Apostacy, a Departure from the Faith, that seducing spirits should

be heeded, and Doctrines of Devils, 1 Tim. 4.1.

John in the Spirit fore-saw all the world wondring after the Beast.
Revel. 13.3. yea, and he saw and fore-told of a great Whore, that should sit upon many Waters, even upon Peoples, Multitudes, Nations and Tongues, Revel. 17.1, 15. yea, and he saw and fore-told, that all Nations, yea, Kingsthemselves, should be drunk with the Cup of Fornication, Revel. 18.3. Now as these things were in sulfilling, Darkness entred the Professors of Christianity, yea, and losing the Virtue and Instuence of the Heavenly Pearl, Christ

Christ in them, the End, Fulfilling, and Substance of all shadows from Abel to himself, then they began to re-assume shadows and figures without them, then lofing the pure Grain, they began to magnifie the Husk, lofing Christs spiritual Baptism, the bubling Spring of living Water, purifying inwardly; then they began to re-assume John's Ministration of Water-baptism outwardly, yea, and to call it Christ; Ordinance, then Divisions abounded; some baptized or sprinkled Old People on their Death-beds; ome baptized people in their more early Age, as when they were capuble of being entechized; some began to baptize or frinkle Young Children; some baptized or sprinkled people after they were Dead; Some baptized the living for the Dead; Some baptized Children in their Mothers Womb, before they were born; some made the Chara-Eter of a Cross in their Foreheads with a Burning Iron, which they called Christ's Baptism; some baptized themseives every year, still pretending and crying, Christs Ordinance. Zuinglius in his Age taking notice of the Confusion of men in reference to Baptism. bore record in this manner, saying, In the beginning of my Book (faithhe) I must ingeniously profess, that almost all those that have undertaken to write of Baptism, even from the very times of the Apostles, have (which I desire may be spoken with the favour of all) not in a few things erred from the Truth: Yea, and this Zuinglius, rs well as they, erred also, the truly illuminated, Branches of the true Vine, turns from fuch as turn from the Life of the Scriptures, the End of Shadows, into Shadows and Inventions, 'tis more honourable to joyn to the Word without Men, then to joyn to Men without the Word.

Now of the fore-mentioned Confusion and Inventions concerning Baptism and Rantism, Dipping and Sprinkling, more followeth.

I profess, I have traced the steps of Antiquity, and have been diligent to search out the Original of Sprinkling, that if possible I might find him out to whom this Tradition, Rantism, that is, sprinkling, may cry Abba Father; and what I have found thereto relating, I freely commend to him that reads me.

I find it recorded, that about the year 230. lived one Cyprian, who was Bishop of Carthage, in which time the foggy Exhala-

(45)

tions of Darknels much ascended the Hearts of the Professors of Christianity, yea, so much as that Christ's Baptism in and with the saving Spirit, the Water of Life being much lost within, they began exceedingly to magnise Water without, the visible Element, thinking Water-baptism saved, ascribing Washing of Souls, Regeneration, taking away of Original Sin, freeing from Perdition, and eternal Salvation to it; yea, and in effect the Belief of this Dostrine remains, and in such as would be accounted high Sharers in Reformation, that the thing is so, multitudes of Testimonies might be produced.

Whence (faith Augustine) both Water so much Virtue, as that it touches the Body, and washes the Heart, August. in Hom. 8.

Through baptismal Water men pass from Earth to Heaven, laith

Ambrosc de sacramentis.

As Water extinguisheth, cleanseth, and whiteneth above other Liquors, so in haptismal Water stephly Lusts are quenched, Sin both original and actual washed away, Innocency begetten, saith Algerus.

Not particular men only, but even Councils were involved in

this erroneous Conceit and Misapprehension.

The Council of Florence taught, That by Baptism we are spiritually born again; and that it imprines in the Soul a Charaster, that is, some spiritual sign; indeleble, that is, which cannot be blotted out: and further, That we are thereby made Members of Christ, of the Body of the Church, Summa Coucil et Pontis.

The Trent Council taught, That by Baptism we put on Christ,

and are thoroughly made New Creatures.

Children dying without it, are by the Roman-Catholicks accounted Heathen, and must not be buried in Hollowed Mould, Church, or Church-Yard. Protestants dancing after the same Pipe, account such Heathen, and wanting their Christendom, so bury

them behind the Church, so called.

This aucient Error of magnifying outward Water, when the the purifying Virtue of the Water of Life was loft, yet red mains; 'tis read in the beginning of the old Catechism of present allowance, My Godfathers and Godmothers in my Baptifer, wherein I may made a Intember of Christ, a Child of God, and an Inheritor

I

of the Kingdom of God. Is not here unspeakable Virtue ascribed

to baptismal Water.

Mayer, in his Explanation of the English Catechism, paraphrasing on the before-cited words, saith, Outward Water makes none Partakers of such Priviledges, and that the Externals of the New are of no more Vertue then the Externals of the Old Testament; alledging, that in Christ Jesus neither Circumcision avails anything, nor Uncircumcision, but a New Creature, and the keeping of the Commandments of God. Baptism (saith he) confers not Grace, ex opene operato, as the Church of Rome teaches; and if not Grace, neither those precious Effects of Grace, neither is external baptismal Water any more effectual than the Blood of

Bulls and Goats to take away sins, Hebr. 10.4.

But to return from this digression, in Cyprian's Age, though the Degeneration was not so great and general, as afterwards it became, yet they greatly magnified Water, and afcribed Salvation to it; fo that converted Persons deferred their Baptism to as near their Latter-end as they could guess, so that they might have an Evidence of the Remission of their sins all at once; they were not willing to have it before, left by after-sinning they thould lofe their Evidence: now in propounding this End to themfelves, sometimes they deferred their Baptism so long, as that through Extremity of Sickness and Weakness, or the like, they could not be baptized, that is, dipped; then one Magnus enquired of Cyprian, What they should do in such a case? Cyprian answered, Seeing it could not be done as it ought, without the hazard of the fick Persons Life, they must do it as well as they could, so that they might have the Element of Water applyed to them on their Beds, sprinkled upon them, alledging Ez. 36. I will sprinkle clean Water upon them, yet if they were restored again, they should be dipped in a River. Now Cyprian allowing of prinkling in this case, people would make use of it in other cases; see Cyprian's Epistle to Magnus. Here you'fee the Author of Rantison, that is, Sprinkling, not Christ, nor the Apostles, but Cyprian; not in the dayes of Christ, but fome Two Hundred and Thirty Years after ; yet not Infants, but Old People were sprinkled. Also he pleads for the sprinkling of sew converted Prisoners in the Prison-house; by degrees they let (47)

let in the Custom of Sick Children, afterwards of All Children,

Cypr. Lib. 4. Epist 7. Migdib. pag. 126.

For further confirmation hereof, Musculus saith in his Common-Places, pag. 741. Anciently very many did defer their Baptism to the very ntmost term of their Life, to the end that they might depart pure and blameless out of this Life, and so they did noe suffer themselve to be laptized, except in their Bed, and that by sprinkling only, in the very point of Death, that they might dye with an Evidence; for this cause these were valled Clinicks, that is, Bed-rid, and Clinick Baptism: in this manner Constantine the great, the Son of Helena, a zealous Prosessor, was baptized when about Sixty Five years old, being sick, and dyed a few dayes after, Socrat. Scholast. lib. 1. cap. 26. Mark this, that in the coming in of Rantism, that is, sprinkling; Old Men were sprinkled, not Insants.

In early Azes of the Church, Baptism (faith Cave) was defer-

redtillold Age.

Basil Bishop of Cesaria, though born of believing Parents, as his Father being Bishop of Nisen, was not baptized till aged, Osender, Cent. 4. lib. 3.

Theodosius the Emperor, though born of Christian Parents, and instructed in the Faith, yet was not baptized till aged, Hi-

storia tripareita, lib. 1.

Further, The Bohemian Confession holds out, that Baptism

for the most part was administred to Men of riper years.

In Alexandria the holy Scriptures were read and interpreted by the Unbaptized, as well as by them that were Baptized: Which thing plainly evidences, that they ascribed not that virtue to Sprinkling of Infants, as afterwards mendid, and now do.

It was a Cultom among the Ancients, that they that were baptized, were baptized Naked, supposing that as they were stripped of their Garment, so by baptismal Water the old man, that is, in-dwelling sin and corruption was put off, Welfgangus Musculus Dusanus in his Comment on the Pfalms. This Custom continued very long, as Authors say.

They had a Custom in the Primitive Church (saith Trapp on Col.2. 12.) that the Party to be baptized clod himself with old cloaths, Which be put off when he entred into the baptismal Water, and at his coming

H 2

out he put on new Clothes: What did they signific heroby? That they conceived, that by the Virtue of baptismal P. ater, they put off the rotten Rags of Unrighternsness, and put on the Garment of Rightenssnings and Salvation. Thus the Water of Life being much lost within, which cleanseth, washeth, purisheth, sanctifieth and taketh away Spots, Blemisties and spiritual Desormity, they ascribed this Work to Water without.

One said,

Ardua sacrato baptismatis anla corușeat Quo dolista Ada Christus in amne lavat.

After this it came to be considered, that many dyed before they became old, therefore it was decreed, That Children, when growing to years of Discretion, should be instructed in Christian-Principles, and then baptized. Zuingtius saith in his Book of Articles, That Children were alwayes openly instructed, and when their Faith had made an impression in their Hearts, and they confessed the same with their Mouthes, then were they admitted to Baptism. Here was Teaching before Baptism; but now they Sprinkle Insants, and many years after teach them.

The one Baptism, by one Spirit into one Body, being much loft, then they re-affumed John's Ministration of Water-Baptism, or an Imitation thereof. Johannes Bohemins faith, In time past it was the Custom to administer Baptism alone to those that were instructed in the Faith, and were before Easter (so called) and Pentecost catechized, and then upon their Confession they were baptized; this was done twice a year, at Easter (fo called) and Pentecost; such as were baptized put on a White Garment when they came out of the Water, for the aforesaid Reason, or intoken of that innocent course of Life they had engaged to walk in; this White Raiment, being exercized and exfusfed with fundry Ceremonies, they wore till the Sunday after Easter (so called) and on that day put it off; which Day, from those White Rayments, was called Dominico in Albis, that is, The Lord's Day in White. Concerning this Lactantine faid, Julgentes

Fulgentes animas vestis quoq; candida signat Et grege de niveo gaudia pastor habet.

White Rayments Represent Souls chast and pure; The Shepherd for his Flock takes Gladness sure.

The same Ceremony was observed by those that were baptized at Pentecost; so from their White Rayments Pentecost came to be called Whitsunday, that is, White-Sonday, in Memorial or Imitation thereof: When Insants are now baptized, they have on their Head, a White Cloth, called a Chrisome, Johan. Bohemius de gentiam moribus, lib. 2. Cambdens Bret. pag. 768. Cave in his Primitive Christianity.

Pope Sirisius, in the year of the Lord 389. decreed, That Baptism should not be celebrated but at the aforesaid two seasons,

Easter and Pentecost, Summa Concil. et Pontif.

Baptizing at these two seasons became almost Universal, saith

Cassander de Baptismo.

Thou'bast received after Baptism (saith Ambrose de sacramentis) a White Rayment, a Token that thou hast put off the Pleasure of Sin, and hast put on the chast or pure Rayment of Innocency.

Luther, Bullenger, Erasmus, Ludovicus Vives, Melantton, Beza, Bucer, Augustine, Marlolat, Chamier, Hammond, Field, Hugo Grotius, Zuinglius, Taylor, Parens, Tertullian, Origon, Cyprian, Ensebins, Heracles, Dyonysius, Athanasius, Hillary, Basil, Gregory Nazianzen, Ambrose, Arnobius, Jerom, fourth Council of Carthage, the Council of Laodicea, the Council of Neocefaria, Balfamon, Zoncras, Walafridus Strabo, Monsieur Daille, Beatus Rhenanus, and Angustine in his Book De Fide, gave in their respective Testimonies, that in the more early Ages of the Church, Repentance, Confession of Faith, and Instruction in Christian-Principles, was commended to people as the only Qualifications for Baptism; those thus instructed in Christian Principles were called Catechumens; so were admitted to Baptism, which Custom (faith Zuinglius) I wish it were in use in our Age: Augustine and others charged their Opinious, if their Writings be not corrupted . by the Index expurgatorius, Augustine

Augustine, the Son of the vertuous Monica, being instructed in the Faith, was not baptized till about the thirtieth year of his Age, as saith Nauctorus, in the year 391. His Mother would not have him baptized young, lest through youthful Propensity he should fall into sin, which after Baptism would be greater and more perilous, as she conceived, White's Apology for Kushworth's Dialogues.

Gregery Nazianzen, born of Christian Parents, his Father being a Bishop, was baptized about the twentieth year of his

Age, Ofander, Cent 4 Lib. 3.

Ambro'e, born of Christian Parents, remained instructed in Christian Principles, and was unbaptized till he was chosen Bishop of Millain, Paulinus in vita Ambrosij.

Jerom, born of Christian Parents, was baptized when about

thirty years old, Erasunsin vita Hieron.

Chrysostom, born of Christian Parents, was not baptized till the one and twenticth year of his Age, Hugo Graius on Matth.

Nectarius was made Bishop of Censtantinople before he was

haptized, Vossins de baptismo, pag. 106 ...

In Northumberland is a River called Glen, in which Paulinus (coming into those parts with the King and Queen, and staying there some thirty six dayes) baptized many, all which time he spent in catechizing and baptizing, being instructed, he baptized them in the River Glen; these were not Insants, neither were they sprinkled at a Bason, Bowl or Font, but they were returned to the Shadow, Camb. Brit.

Basil said, None were to be baptized, but the Catechumens, that is, such as were duly instructed in Christian Principles, Lib.3.

contra Eurominm.

Dr. Taylor, mentioning the Canon, pag. 238. faith, 'It inti'mates a Practice absolutely universal in the Church of interroga'ting the Catechumens, concerning the Articles of their Creed,
'which is one Argument, that either they allowed not of Infants
'Baptism, or that they did prevaricate egregiously in asking Que'stions of them, who, as themselves well knew, were not capable

of answering.

Now, what high Thoughts they had of Baptism, what Virtue they ascribed to it, how they magnified it, surther appears by the Canon of a Council under Pope Honorius the sist, who put forth, that No commemoration of an holy Oblation, nor the Office of Singing should be bestowed on those Catechumens, that dyed without the Redemption of Baptism, Concil. Bacarense sub Honorio primo, vide Summa Concil. et Pontis.

In the dayes of the Apostles, when the Woman was clothed with the Sun, the Church was beautified with the Garments of Christ's Righteousness and Innocency, Satan operated to entangle them in the Net of Shadows, Elements and beggarly Rudiments, as appears by Paul's Epistles to the Galatians Collossians, &c. Now after the Apossles dayes, as Satan, the old Adversary, was more and more prevalent, so the purifying Faith, and the blessed, primitive, apostolical Order was more and more departed from, the one Baptism, which is spiritual, was in a high measure lost, then they began to re-affume John's Ministration of Water-baptilin without, or an Imitation of it, as dipping or sprinkling Aged People, baptizing Middle Age, Youth after they were catechized; and as Darkness encreased, Inventions were multiplyed, and entred the Throne of the Hearts of Christianity, as iprinkling of Infants, Godfathers and Godmothers, Chrism, Chrisoms, Fonts, &c. in this Apostacy they ascribed the virtue of Saving, Sanctifying, Regenerating, inward Washing to Baptismal Water without, as now, of making Members of Chrift, Children of God, &c. John ascribed no such Virtue to his Baptismal Water, but only used it as a Figure of that inward Washing which should be witnessed in the Church, by the Baptism of Christ in the Spirit, without Outward Water.

Of the Original and Progress of Pædo-baptism, rather Pædorantism now, that is, Sprinkling of Infants.

A Fter this it was considered, that many Infants and Children dyed before they were capable of catechizing and instructing in the Principles of Christian Religion, and being doubtful concerning the Salvation of such, they wrote to Angustine the Father, to have his Thoughts concerning the State and Case of such as dyed unbaptized: To whom Angustine returned this Answer, Infants, who whether they begin to live in the Mothers Womb, and there dye, or being born of their Mothers, depart out of the World without the Sacrament of hely Baptism, they are dam-

wed, being guilty of Original Sin.

Consider the Fury of this Uncharitable man, how he plants his great Gun against innocent Children. Christ told certain, that they must become as Little Children; which implies Children to be harmless and innocent, else they could not enter into the Kingdom of Heaven: But this Augustine taught, that Infants born or unborn are damned if they dye without Baptism. Truly he was stiled, Durus paterinfantum, a hard Father to Infants. Again, He ascribes to Outward Water the washing away of original Sin and actual also; so sets corruptible Water in the place of the Blood of Christ, which indeed cleanseth from all Sin.

Cassiodor on Pfal. 5. thus wrote, That in Baptism Original Sins and Offences are so cleansed, that it restores us to that Purity in which

Adam was created.

Consider into what a Gulf of Error this Doctrine leads such as receive it, ascribing the cleansing away of Sin to outward Water, yea, and that it restore to that Purity in which Adam was created: When such possessor Pulls as these were swallowed, such pernicious Doctrines credited, that Children quickened in the Womb, or brought forth, dying without Water-baptisin, were damned; and that by Water-baptisin they were cleansed, and made

(53)

made as pure and innocent as Adam was before his Fall, from such accompts as these the Necessity of Infants-Baptism was concluded, and the Danger without it, and the unspeakable Benefits of it; thus were Monsters conceived & brought forth out of the Womb of Ignorance, and surther to beget people into a Veneration & Esteem of it, it must have no lower Title and Denomination then of being Christ's Ordinance; and those Scriptures which were spoken of Chsist's Baptism in the Spirit, of the Water of Regeneration, must be wrested and perverted to defend Water Baptism and Rantism; yea, and the Dostrine of Augustine, and such like, being rooted in mens Belief, Sprinkling of Insants must be accounted Christ's Ordinance, and all that deny the same must be branded as Hereticks and Schismaticks.

And that Augustine, Fulgentius, Leonardus Coqueus, Cassander, and many of the Ancients were of Opinion, That Children, born or unborn, if they dyed without Bapesson, were damned, Ecclesiastical History plenticity shews; August ad vicentium victorem, Fulgentius, Leonardus Coqueus in August de Civit. Dei August de fide ad Patrum Diaconum, Cassander de baptismo,

Episome operum Augustini, pag. 75.

Again, Some will have Fade-baptifin or Pade rantifm, that is, dipping or sprinkling of Children, to be of more Antiquity then Augustine; for 'tisrecorded, that about the year 248. lived a Priest called Fidus, who willed people to haptize young Children according to the manner of Circumcifion; about which thing Cyprism with fixty fix Bishops and Elders gather'd together, ordained, That Children should timely be baptized, as well before as after the eighth day. It seems the Doctrine of Fidniconcerning Dipping or Sprinkling of Children was new, and seemed Arange to Cyprian, seeing he would not ratifie nor confirm the same without the Sentence and Advice of fixty fix Bishops; had it been commanded by Christ, practifed by the Apostles, and continued in Matter and Manner to Exprise's dayes, there had not been a Necessity for the concourse of so many Bishops concerning the same: and though Cyprian here enjoyined Baptizing of Children, yet a tew years before, he was for the Dopping or Sprinkling of Aged People. See his Epittle to Magnes.

12

Now Cyprian and his fellow Bishops allowing of Childrens Baptism, hence it got great entrance among the Churches professing Christianity; so that Cassander, in his Book de Infantium baptismo, saith, that Baptism of Infants came to be much used by the Fathers, who lived somethree hundred years after the Apostles. He excludes it from being Christ's Command, or practised by the Apostles.

As the Apostacy was more and more manifested, Sun and Air, the Purity of the primitive Church, through the Smoak of the Bottomless Pit, darkned, then Traditions and Inventions of men were multiplyed, then the Necessity of Infants Baptisin by Angustine, Cyprian, and such like, was introduced, and by the Ratisfication and Enjoynment of Popes, Councils, General, National or Provincial Synods, Kings, as absolutely necessary, was

Arengthned.

Johannes Bohemius saith, 'That Baptism of old was administred to none (unless upon urgent Necessity) but to fuch as were before instructed in the Faith, and catechifed : but when it came to be judged Necessary to Everlasting Life, it was ordained that Infants should be baptized, and that they should have Godsathers and Godmothers, who should be Sureties for Infants, and should renounce the Devil in their behalfs, it grew to this in the Roman-Catholick-Church, that at the baptizing of a Child, the Priest 1. blows three times in the Infants Face; 2. he anoints his Eyes, Ears and Nostrils with Earth moistned with his Spittle; 3. he names him, and marks him with the fign of the Cross upon his Breast and Back with 'Hallowed Oyle; 4. he dipshim into the Water, or pours Water upon him three times in form of a Cross; 5. dipping his 'Thumb in the Holy Chrism (as they call it) he signs the Childs Forelead with the fign of the Cross; 6. he covers him with. 'a White Garment; 7. he puts into his Hand a Burning Candle, Lib. 2. de Gentium moribus.

When the primitive Purity was turned from, the one Baptism by the one Spirit into one Body lost then steamed such like things out of the Bottomless Pit into the Church; and to gain Estimation, they must be stiled Christ's Commands, or at least Apostolical Traditions.

Aegustin

Angustine (as tis said) was the first that preached the Necessity of Infants Baptism; and as Nations became drunk with the Cup of Abomination and Fornication, idolatrous and strange Erroneous Dostrines Arcamed out of Apostatized Christendom.

About the year 402. the Milevitan Council, celebrated by ninety two Bishops, put out this Canon, 'All that affirm that 'young Children receive Everlasting Life without the Sacrament of Baptism, and will not that young Children newly born be baptized, to the taking away of original Sin, that they be anathematized, Magdiburg. Cent. 5. This Canon then sent to Rome, had the Ratissication of Pope Innocent the third.

In the 5th General Council at Carthage, held in the year 416. 'twas decreed, 'That who foever denies, that little Children by 'Baptism are freed from Perdition, and eternally saved, let them 'be accursed. This was confirmed and ratified by the said Pope Innosent the third, after by Pope Zosimus, after by Pope Boni-

fass.

Istdore saith, 'If Children were not baptized, so thereby re-'newed, and original Sin washed away, they were in a fate of

Damnation, Adadg. cent. 7.

Pope Hormisch ordained, That Children, if they be weak, should be baptized the same day in which they are born, Summa Concil. et Pontif. And what care is taken in this Nation for weak Children, to make sure their Christendom (as they say) I may be filent.

About the year 692. Ina King of the West-Saxons, ordained, That every Infant should be baptized within thirty dayes after its Birth on the Penalty of his Parents forfeiting thirty shillings; and if the Child dyed unbaptized, the whole Estate. These Saxons received the Faith of the Church of Rome, from the mouth of Austine the Monk, and others.

About the year \$16. the Council under Wolfred ordained, That they should not pour Water upon the Heads of Infants, but immerge, that is, dip them in the Foat, Full. pag. 109. It

feems they were for Dipping, not for Sprinkling.

In the 9th Century it crept in, That in Exorcism, the Head, ... Ears

Ears and Nose should be salted, and anoisted before Bapt'sm,

Mard. Cent. 9.

Angustine's Doctrine, That Children born or unborn, were damned, if they died without Baptifen, being received as a Heavenly Oracle, hence Infants Baptilm was enjoyated by Popes, Councils and Kings, and great Virtue was afcribed to it, as the taking away of Original Sin, and of regenerating Infants, and renewing of them, and freeing them from Perdition, and eternally faving them, and of making them Members of Christ, Children of God, and that it made the Receivers as pure and innocent as Adam was before his Fall; these things being believed, Churchmen became Defenders and Propogators of it, common people received it with Greediness: Who would refuse an Eternal Inheritance tendred upon such terms? Thus Ignorance & Blindness entering Christendom, the Custom and idolatrous Tradition of Infants Baptism became so epidemical and general, as that in the eleventh Century it was scarce questioned; in this Age it crept in, That Salt should be put into the Mouth of the Baptized, Magd. Cent.

In the Discourse between Dr. Harpsfield Arch-Deacon, and John Bradford Mastyr, this Bradford said, I dare not exclude from Christ all that dye without Baptism. He placed not Salvation in visible elementary Water; but the Roman-Catholicks are otherwise minded, and thrust the Souls of Infants that dye without it into a Limbus infantum, a place very nigh Hell, and their Bodies out of Christian-burial, into an Unhallowed Place; and in this Nation, who pretend to Reformation, behind the Church (so called) if granted for burial to the Unbaptized, must be received as a Favour,

And to the Decrees of Popes, Councils and Kings, there are feveral wrested Scriptures and Objections alledged for the defence and confirmation of Infants Baptism.

I. Obj. Whole Families were baptized, so Children.

Answ. 'Tis written, that whole Families believed, John 4.53.

(57)

Must it be inferred hence, that Children, not grown up to years of Discretion, believed; Families may be without a hildren, they may be grown up, or they might be newly married Families, or their a hildren might be dead: so the a wild Inserence to ground Insant. Baptism on this, faith Optatus.

II. Obj. The Spirit accompanies Water in Baptism, and Water so accompanied is Christ's Baptism, the Spirits Baptism.

Answ. The Scripture bears record against that; the holy Spirit fell on the Apostles on the day of Pentecost; this was Christs Baptism, the Spirits Baptism, and here was no Water made use of, Acts 1, & 2. yea, and in Asts 11. 15. he calls the holy Spirit falling on them, the Spirits Baptism, without the Element of Water; as for Water, that he calls John's Baptism.

III. Obj. Those that have right to the Promise, they have right to the Sealthereof; but the Children of Believers have right to the Promise; ergo, they have right to the Seal, that is baptism.

Answ. In Christ, the Promise of the Father, all the Kindseds of the Earth obtain the Eleffing; he is also the Covenant of Light, given for Salvation to the Ends of the Earth, Isaiah 42.6. and that such as have right to the Promise, have right to the Seal thereof, that is a real Truth; but that Dipping or Sprinkling of Infants should be the Seal of the Covenant, that I deny, as Antichristian: consider, the first Covenant stood in Meats and Drinks, and divers Baptisms, that is, Washings; it had a temporary Seal, to wit, Circumcision, Rom. 4. 11. but the New Covenant, Christ Jesus, the great Promise of the Father, hath a spiritual, yea, an eternal Seal: this is my positive Affirmation in this case, that the Old Covenant standing in outward things, had an outward Seal; but the new Covenant, the Promise of the Father, hath a spiritual Seal: Paul a Witness of Christ, the new covenant, the great Promise of the Father, in writing to the Saints at Ephosus, he testified on their behalf, faying, After that ye believed, ye were sealed with the holy Spirit of Promile,

(53)

mile. Ephel, 1. 13. He calls the Spirit the Seal of the New Co. venant, Christ, the Promise of the Father, not Baptism non Rantilm, dipping or frinkling. Further, he exhorted them in these words, Grieve not the holy Spirit, whereby ye are sealed to the Day of Redemption, Ephel. 4. 30. He preaches up the Spirit to be the Evangelical Seal of the New Covenant, the Promise of the Father; so who preach up Water-baptism to be the Seal, they oppose the Apostles Testimony, deny the Lord's Privy and Royal Seal, and introduce another of mans making; the fealed are the Lord's Excellent Ones, his Worthies. And as for that Scripture, Acts 2. 39. The Promise is unto you, and to your Children, unto all that are afar off, even as many as the Lord shall call. This makes nothing for Water-baptism or Rantism to be the Evangelicall Seal: Peter told the House of Israel, that the Promise was to them, to their Children, yea, to all the called of the Lord. Outward Water was not the Promise which they were to wait for, but a thing of a more Heavenly Nature. Christ told the Jews. John 8. 39. If ye were Abraham's Children, ye would do the works of Abraham: so that such were the Children of Abraham, and had right to the Promise, who were found doing the Works of Abraham: yea, and the Apostle told the Galatiens, that they which were of Faith, were the Children of Abraham, Gal. 3.7. fuch Children as these have right to the Promise, and know the Seal thereof.

IV. Obj. Infants-baştefm is an ancient Custom, fo to be continued in the Church.

Answ. The antiquity of a humane Tradition proves it not to be of divine Institution; the spreading or over-spreading of Leprosie, proves it not to be Soundness; the Entrance of true Reformation thrusts out humane Inventions, old as well as new: what Christ received of his Father, that he taught his Disciples, Apostles; what the Apostles received from Christ, the Treasury of Wisdom and Knowledge, that they taught to and in the Churches, and the same was to be committed and commended to saithful men in the Faith; the Tongue of the Learned was their

All to them, and in them; and in this Faith that Tongue was kept filent, which afterward brought the Darkness into the Church: in the Eye of divine Illumination we see to before Augustin was, or Ciprian wa, or Pope Innocent the third was, who (as 'tis fairh) fi. st established Infants-haptism, by ratifying the Canon of the Afilevitan Council; by this Eye we see and feel to the Primitive Order, before the Apostacy was, Christ, the Apostles and primitive Church, in the Beauty of Heavenly Virginity, taught no such thing, as dipping or frinkling of Infants, and in the Faith the primitive Order is to be pressed after & contended for, & the Smoak of the Pit, Inventions, Traditions and Idolatrous Customs, inconsistent with the living & Life-giving Truth are to be warred against: Id verum quod prim, prins qued ab initie, ab initio quod ab Apostolis, Tertul. contra Marcion, lib. 4. That is Truth, that was first; that was first, which was from the beginning; which was from the beginning, which was from the ApoRles; what the Apostles preached, that they received from Christ, who is Alpha and Omega, the First and the Last, the Beginning and the End, glorified with the Father before the Foundation of the World. Augustine Bishop of Hippe testified, saying, Cuffor is not to be preferred before Truth, but on ht to give place to the Truth: but who plead for Inants-baptisin or Rantism, they would keep Custom in the Threne, and exclude Truth. Cyprian Bishop of Carthage bare Testimony, saying, 'Custom crept in among some people, ought not to hinder Truths prevailing and overcoming; for Custom without Truth is nothing but ancient Error, wherefore forfaking Error, let us pursue the Truth. These two saidwell, though true it is, as their Wrie tings evidently demonstrate, they were guilty of mingling their traditions, inventions and imaginations with the Doctrine of Christ and of the Apostles.

V. Obj. 'Tis said, Except a man be born of Water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the Kingdom of God, John 3. 5. dethe not this perpetuate Infants-baptism?

Anfw. Christ gave no command for Infants-baptism, neither did

did the Apostles practife any such thing; whoever alledge this. or any other Scripture for it, they use the Scriptures as Torturers. · who putting inaccent men upon the Rack, fo force them to speak that which they never thought; fuch are thefe, who wrest the Scriptures, to draw a fense out of them which the holy Spirit never intended, fo tortures and perverts them as to make them speak for maintaining those things which were not commanded nor spoken of in these dayes wherein the Scriptures were given forth. Efter the Apostles dayes Satan made an Encroachment upon the Garden of the Lord, Earthly Exaltations of Darkness fumed into the Professors of Christianity, then their Judgment became carual, and being not able to favour divine things, they wrested those Scriptures which spoke of the Water of Life, and applyed them to outward Water, ascribing inward Washing and Regeneration therete, whenas indeed external Water can contribute nothing thereunto in this place. Christ preached unto Nicedemus the Necessity of Regeneration, and informed him how it was brought forth, not by outward Water, which can reach no further then outward things, but by a Water able to renew a man, to make him a New, Holy and Heavenly Creature, to dissolve the retten and loathson Fabrick of unrenewed, untransformed man, where Belial is King, and to erect a Building in Righteouiness and true Holiness, where Christ is Monarch, sole Lord. Other Scriptures give witness to this, as John 4. 10. Christ said to the Woman of Samaria, If then knew the Gift of God, Gc. then wouldst have asked of him, and he would have eiventhe living Water. Mark, here is a Living Water, a Lifegiving Water, effecting a living Work; and this all the Re-born know. Further, faith Christs Vers. 14. The Wester that I hall give him, hallbe in him a Well of Water, Springing up unto Everlasting Life. And John 7. 38, 39. He that believet bon me, out of his bely shed flow Rivers of living Water, which Believers in him should receive; there is a living Water producing a Work of Wonder in the Bodies of men, making all things new; this fulfils inwardly what Water elementary shadowed out outwardly, which cools, cleanfes quenches the Thirt, makes fruitful outwardly.

Piscator

Piscator on this John 3. 5. faith very evidently, Seeing that without this Water, whereof Christ pake to Nicodemus, no man can attain unto exernal Life, it follows, that Christ Spake not of Baptismal Water. Lombard, Vossius, yea, a Cloud of Witnestles have showred down Testimonies to the same purpose; but if notwithstanding all this, thou will have John 3, 5. to speak of material Water, then Mat. 3. 11. He shall baptize you with the holy Spirit and with fire, must be understood of material Fire, then outward Fire must be used in Baptism, which would be judged an Absurdity, and a strange Dostrine; Water and Fire are in these places added, to shew the effectual Operation of the Spirit, working that inwardly which Fire and Water represent outwardly, as many have observed; born of Water and of the Spirit, or of Water which is the Spirit, John 7. 38, 9. as Piscator observes: so baptized with the holy Spirit and with Fire, baptized with the holy Spirit, which is Fire, a Goal from the Lord's Altar, giving light to the inward man, spiritualizing him, changing him into the divine Image, from Glory to Glory, elevates and carries the Renewed Heaven-ward, purifying from the droft of Sin, Polutious and Defilements, uniting to the Called, Faith. ful and Cnosen, separating from the World, in a word, who dwell in the Light of Grace, these more and more receive the Spirit, in which Christ's Baptism is a Coal from the Lord's Altar, operating inwardly as elementary Fire outwardly.

. Of Children in the Womb Baptized.

Satan, the grand Adversary, by Hellish Suggestions, Wicked Temptations, Unclean Injections, by little and little after the primitive Times disjoynted, and as I may say, disspirited many, so that the pure serious Worship in Spirit and in Truth came by little and little to be departed from, and a Will-Worship clouded with Ceremonies, Shadows, Traditions, Inventions of men came to be established, so that Angustin in his day taught, That Children born or unborn, if quickened in the Womb, were Damned, if they dyed without baptism: hence the Necessity of baptizing Infants was concluded, as before I have touched. Now it being obser-

K

Father Angustin's Doctrine such were damned, if unbaptized; the consideration of this set them to seek a Remedy for that Disease, and none could be sound, except the Child in the Womb could be baptized; but if it might, then all was well: in order to this concern, a great Question was propounded, An pueri in untero possint baptizari, ita salvari; that is, Whether Children in the Womb could be baptized, so saved, yea or may? This was looked upon as a Mysterious Question, and necessary to be considered, and it set the most curious Wits on work, and out of the

Magazine of carnal Reasoning came this Conclusion:

If a Quickened Child in the Mothers Womb shall be in danger to dye, thorough the Weakness of the Mother or of the Child, or thorough hard Labour, let them bless or pray for the Child, and let them strengthen the Mothers Womb, let them commend the Child to God, with Desire, to baptize it, and can protest, saying, The Fault is not in them why that Child is not baptized; the Observation of these Ceremonies upon the Child's account, was judged equivalent to Baptism, and the Child concluded to be saved thorough its Parents Belief, Cassander de baptismo. Thus after the ingress of Apostacy, multitudes of Inventions were brought into the Church, and to the end they might have savourable Entertainment, they were stilled Decent, and Dostrines of Christ, or Apostolical Traditions.

Concerning Baptizing of the Dead.

Thorough the Smoak of the Apostacy after the Apostles dayes, such was the Depravation of mens Understandings, as that they ascribed the virtue of Renovation, Regeneration and Eternal Salvation to Baptismal Water; yea, and not a few concluded it effectual not only for the Living, but also for the Dead, so that the Cataphygians and Montanists baptized the Dead Bodies of men, as saith Philastrius, and Georgius Ederus in his Mataologia hareticorum.

There were some (saith Sparke) that baptized the Dead, and put the Sacramental Bread in their Mouths, as their Viatioum, indging

(63)

udging it better to have such a necessary Ordinance by Proxy

then altogether to go without it.

A Child that dyed unbaptized was taken up and Christened (as 'tis said) and had his Fathers Name given him, Mazd. Cent. 7.

Concerning Baptizing the Living for the Dead.

Thorough the Apostacy many sell into a dead Lethargy (as I may say) into a spiritual Sleepines, Drowsines and Forgetsulness, as Israel of old, who forgot the Lord dayes without number, a Custom crept in among the Marcionites and Cerinthians, that when any Catechumenist dyed without Baptism, that is, such a one as had been instructed in the Principles of Christianity, some living Person was placed under the Bed of the Deceased, then the Priest came unto the Deceased Party, saying, Desirest them to be baptized? the Dead Man replying nothing, the Party under the Bed answered for it, saying, I mould be baptized: thus they baptized him for the Dead, as if they acted a Play upon a Stage, Tersull. Epiphan. de Cerinth. bares. Goodwins koman & Jewish Antiquines.

Paul to the Corinthians said, If the Dead rife nor at all, why are they then baptized for the Dead? I Cor. 15.29. a Custom (saith Fiscater on this place) not universal, nor taken up in all Churches, nor among the Corinthians, is here noted. A Custom (saith this Piscater) sprung up among the ancient Christians, of being baptized at or over the Graves of the Dead of the Martyrs, to test she (saith he) that with Christ they were dead to sin, and ready to dye for him, &c. But the Churches who bare about in their mortal Bodies the Dying of the Lord Jesus, so came to witness his Life manisest in them, they knew the Ground of Rudiments and beggarly Elements cast out, the End of Shadows and Figures manisested, in which they lest off John's typical Baptism without, and all other irreptitious Customs and Consusons about the same.

K 3

Of an Annual Baptism, that is, of such as Baptize themselves every Year.

The Habbasines, a kind of mongrel Christians in Affrica, baptize themselves every year on the day of Epiphany, so called, in Lakes or Ponde, thereby to keep a Memorial of Christs Baptism in Jordan, which was performed on the aforesaid day, as many of the Ancients conjectured, Trapp on Matth. 3.

Of Outward Fire used in Baptism.

The Apostacy prevailed with and in the Church as a Consumption upon a Mortal Body, which weakens the Body by little and little, causeth Leanness, and turns the Body to the Dust; so the Apostacy crept in by little and little, the Cross of Christ being turned from, Weakness followed, spiritual Leanness; that was lost which opens Scriptures, and sulfils them in men; so conceits, conceivings, mis-apprehensions, mis-interpretations got place, as from that Scripture, Matth. 3. 11. He shall baptize you with the Holy Ghost and with Fire; a People called Jacobites, from one Jacobius Syrus their Leader, a kind of mongrel Christians in Asia, sign their Children many in the Face, some in the Arm, with the sign of the Cross, imprinted with an hot burning Iron, at or before Baptism, alledging the aforesaid Scripture, Concil. Cackareuse 2. Can. 34,35. Trapp on Asts 8.

Of Fonts, and their Rise.

Some Authors mention, that when first they began to confer upon Infants the Tradition of Baptism, they dipped them in Rivers or Fountains quarterly; but in Winter the season being cold, and Children tender, Fonts were invented, and Children dipped or sprinkled thereat.

There is a Book, called, A View of the Civil and Ecclesiastical Law, written by one Sr. Thomas Ridley, wherein is written, that 'The Rites of Baptism in the primitive Times were perform"ed in Rivers and Fountains, and this manner of baptizing (faith he) "the ancient Church entertained from the Example of Christ, "who was baptized of John in Jordan; in those Ages (faith he) there were no Fonts.

Further (saith he) in the dayes we now live in, we have no other remainder of the Rite of baptizing in Rivers and Fountains then the very Name; hence it is (saith he) that the Veschels containing Baptismal Water are called Fonts, from the Latin word Fontes, which signifies Fountains. Consider, he acknowledges a great Degeneration from the Primitive Order.

'Further (saith he) The Custom of Dipping in Rivers and Fountains being left off, Fonts were erected in private Houses.

Thus Invention followed Invention, as Wave after Wave.

'Further (faith he) Because of the violent Persecution of those 'dayes, they were debarred of that Convenience, and had re'course to Woods, and such like places, where they had such 'Baptisterials as F nts, with them, as they could. Mede saith the same.

But in more peaceable Times (saith he) they drew nearer, and set their Fonts a little distant from their Churches, so called; after they obtained to set them in Church-Porches, and at last got them into the Church. As a Cloud by the Winds, so 'twas

toffed from place to place.

Further (he faith) that at the first they were not placed in every Church, but in Cities, and that in the Mother Church, so called; and why in the Mother Church? because (saith he) as people in their Mothers Wombs are born men, so in the Fonts of Baptism, as in the Churches Womb, men are born Christians. Here Regeneration is ascribed to Font-Water, an Antichristian Doctrine.

But in fucceeding times (faith he) it being considered, that the Mother Church, so called, was far off Towns and Villages, and that in Winter season people could not well repair thither, the Bishop took occasion to transfer the Rite of Baptism and Burial to the Rural Churches, so called, together with the Rite of Tythe, so made Parochial Churches.

Further (he saith) That in Parishes, some living remote from

'the Church, it was permitted them, to build in or nigh their 'Houses a Private Oratory, reserving for the most part the Rite of Sepulture and Baptisin to the Parish Church; yea; and on high dayes (so called) they were to repare to the Parish Church.

'In after Times these Oratosies were called Chappels, and such as exercised the Ministerial Function in them, were called Chap-

Lains, The. Ridley.

'Fonts of old were adorned with Pictures of Holy Men, to 'the end that such as were baptized might have before their Eyes 'whose Deeds they were to imitates, as saith *Pontius Faulinus* in an Epistle to Severus.

Of Godfathers and Godmothers, and Gossips, so called; and the word Gossip interpreted and explained.

Faith and Repentance were the Qualifications of fuch who were admitted to John's Baptism, the outward Cleansing, a shadow of Christ's Baptism, the Laver of Regeneration, Washing inwardly, where the pure and undefiled Religion is known; John baptized not Infants, Sureties to engage for Infants Faith and Repentance when at years of Discretion had not allowance in his Ministration; when thorough the ingress of Apostacy the saving spiritual Baptism was lost, the shadow got entertainment in the Church; as the corruptible earthly part prevailed within, then men grew irreligious; then credens being given to Angustins Do-String and others, That Children born or unborn were Damned, if they dyed unbaptized, hence the Necessity of Infants Baptism was concluded, and Church-men became Desenders and Propogators of it: now they being conscious, that Children knew not'ing of Faith and Repentance, Qualifications for John's Waterbaptism, through the Door of this consideration crept in Godfathers and Godmothers to be Sureties for Infants, and to renounce the Devil in their behalfs, and to Promise and Vow, that when those Infants are grown up to years of Discretion, they shall both believe and repeat, a thing not heeded by one of many, though formerly promised. See more of this in the old Cateclim.

Pope Higinus ordained, That at the least one Godfather or one Godmother should be concerned at Baptism, Platina de vitis Portis. but for every male Child (as 'tis now enjoyeed) two Godiathers and one Godmot' er, and for every female Child two Godmothers and one Godfather are in this Nation required. About the year 680. Godfathers and Godmothers, fo called, began to be used to Men of mature age; Welphere the Miercian King was Godfather unto Edelwalch King of the South-Saxons, and this (faith my Author) was fashionable in that Age, that such being baptized, should walk worthy of their Profession, were it but to fave their Friends Reputation, who had undertaken for their Sincerity therein, Fuller pag. 83. Great Virtue in idolatrous Times was afcribed to Water-baptism, as is more fully handled before, to which the Testimony of venerable Bede, so called, held out in his Ecclifiastical History may be added, who said, An ignorant Infant is saved in Bastism, thorough the Faith of its Parents and Godfather: a Testimony savouring of a carnal mind.

As for Goffips, I have observed, that our Ancestors understanding a spiritual affinity or aliance (as they supposed) to grow between them and fuch as undertook for their Children in Baptism, called each other by the Name of Goffip, which is as much as akin through. God, and the Child called fuch his Godfather or Godmether; the word Goffip is derived from the Saxon word Godfib, which fignifies affinity or alliance in God; Sibbe in the Saxon Tongue fignifies affinity, alliance: as for a she Gossip the Belga called such a one Ghemoeder, which is compounded of Ghe with, and moeder Mother: fuch a one in the northern parts is usually called a Commother: for further confirmation of this thing, it was ordained in the seventh Century, That no Gossips might Marry together, because of the spiritual Affaity and Relation they had contratted at

the Font, Madg. pag. 147.

In the tenth Century it crept in, That Gossips were to put on white Garments as well as the Priests of the Baptized, Madg. Cent. 10. That is, at or about the baptizing of any one, both Priest, Goffips,

and the Party baptized put on White Garments.

Of the Chrisom.

Of old the Custom was (when the Earthly Mind turned to Earthly Rudiments and Elements) to baptize none till at years of Discretion, and the Baptized for certain days, put on a white Garment; in Imitation hereof, when Infants are now baptized, they have on their Heads a White Cloth, called a Chrisom; why called a Chrisom? because a white Cloth, at the first Institution of it, was put upon a Childs Head, newly anointed with Chrisom, a hallowed Oyntment among Papists, after Baptism; so from this Oyntment, this Chrisom, the White Cloth, as tissaid, was called Chrisom; now tis taken for the White Cloth put upon a Child newly christned (as 'tis called) in token of its Baptism; and its usually brought to the Church, o called, at the day of Churching the Woman, and pinned behind her Head; and in many places it is bestowed upon the Priest; and in some places Money instead thereof.

Thus the In-dwellings of Truth being lost, and turned from, after the primitive times, ceremonies, inventions, customs, buman traditions, as Sprinkling of Infants, Godfathers, Godmothers, Gossips, Commothers, Chrisom, Chrisoms, Sign of the Cross, Ge. sprung up among the Professors of Christianity, as Weeds in untilled Grounds, yet to gain acceptance they are stilled by many Commands of Christ, or at the least Apostolical Traditions.

Now after Rantism, that is, sprinking of Infants, was received into the Churches as a Doctrine meet to be observed, and also Supream Authorities and Church-Liturgies in divers Countries commending and commanding it, hence the Clergy, so called, judged themselves concerned to propagate and defend the tame, it being that Nonconformity is not the Way to Preserment: yet in divers Ages, several who had Glimpses of Heavenly Light in them, questioned Infants-baptism, yea, and esteemed it as Counterseit Coyn, as such may see who trace the steps of Ecclesiastical Writers, some of whose Test monies I shall mention.

Tertullian (as 'tis said) opposed some Introducers of Infants-baptism,

(59)

Baptism, saying, 'That Consession of Sins, Faith, Repensance and Renouncing of the Devil, was required of the Baptizes,

* which Infants are not capable of, Tertul. de baptisme.

Walafridus Strabo, who lived about the year \$40. in his Book of Church Affairs, chap. 26. faid to this purpose, 'That in the 'early Ages of the Church, Baptism was administred on the Adato only. When the Substance, say I, was turned from, then they re-assumed the Shadows. 'But afterwards (faith he) Christians understanding Original Sin, and less their Children should perish, without any Means of Grace; they began to haptize them by the Decree of the Council of Africa, and then Godfathers and Godmothers were invented. This, I say, is carnal Reasoning, and charging the Apostles of Christ, as not understanding Original Sin, or as denying Means of Graceto Children; but I say, such as reason thus, they reject the Lord's means of Increase in Grace, and entertain Inventions of men in place thereof.

Zuinglim, speaking of Infants-baptism, saith, 'There is no

'plain words of Scripture where the fame is commanded.

The Magdiburgenses in their learned Hostory tellus, 'That as to the baptizing of Insants, they read of no Example in the Scriptures, Cent. 1. Lib. 2. They also therein declare against consecrating of Water before Baptism, as also against Fonts, Baptisterions, and against baptizing at two times in the year, Easter and Whitsontide, so called, against Gossips or Sureties for the Adult and Insant, giving of Names in Baptism, Exorcisms, Chrisms or Anointing, Consignations, that is, Sign of the Cross, Albs, Salt, Spittle, giving or receiving of Gists, Confirmation of Bishoping; these things were not in primitive Times, but steamed in as the Smoak arose out of the Bortomless-Pit, Magdib. Cent. 1. Lib. 2?

Bellarmine, de bapsisma, saith, 'That in Scripture there is nei-'ther Command nor Example for Insants-haptism; but he refers it to the immaginary Catalogue of Apostolical Traditions.

Beringarius with the Bishop Bruno in France, gave publick Testimony against Insants-Baptism, which Doctrine spread through France and Germany, Bar. Ann. 1050. Hence they.

were called Beringarians and Waldenses; they testified also against

the Real Presence, Magd. cent. 11.

Peter de Brussus taught against Insants-baptism, Transubstantiation, praying for the Dead, for which Testimony he was burned to Death, Bar. Ann. 1116.

Arnaldus taught against Infants-baptism; Transubstantiation,

Bar. Ann. 1116.

Peter Abailardus opposed Infants-baptism, so did many of the Clergy; this Abailardus was burned to Ashes at Rome for his Testimony.

In the year 1176. a People was raised up in the Province of Albi, in France, who preached, That Infants-baptism was not

necessary to Salvation, Bar. \$ 310.

Calvin saith expresly, That Infants-baptism is not mentioned

by any of the Evangelists, Instit. lib. 4. cap. 16.

They that baptize Children (saith Taylor) make Baptism to be wholely an outward Duty, a carnel Ordinance, it makes us be satisfied with Shadows, and to relinquish the Substance and Spirituality

of the Gospel, pag. 242.

Dr. Ferom Taylor faith, That the Parents of Augustin, Jerom and Ambrose, though Christians, did not baptize their Children till aged; which thing (saith he) is very effectual for destroying the supposed Necessity of derivation of Infants-baptism from the Apostles, Lib. proph. pag. 239.

Luther in Postill. faith, Young Children bear not, so if the Com-

mand be followed, they are not to be baptized.

Erasmus, in his Book of the Union of the Church, saith, It is no where expressed in the Apostolical Writings, that they baptized Children.

Bucer upon Matthew faith, That Christ no where commanded to

baptize Infants.

Staphilm in his Epitome, saith, That it is not expressed in holy Scriptures, that Young Children should be baptized.

Choslem faith, That Jesse took a Child, &c. but he thinks be

did not baptize it.

Melantton, in his Treatife concerning Anabaptists, saith, That there is no plain Commandment in the Scriptures, that Children should be baptized.

Danie;

Daniel Rogers faith, That he is Unconvinced by Demonstration of Scripture, that Infants should be baptized, De bapt. part. 29.

Baster, though much for Infants-baptism, yet in pag 3. he confesses, That Infants-baptism is not plainly determined in the Scriptures.

Doctrine to baptize Infants. Saying further, 'That Christ gave 'no Command for the same, neither did he or his Apostles bap-

tize any of them, lib. proph. pag. 239.

Further, he faith in pag. 237. of the same Book, 'As there was no Command for Infants-baptilin, so the Necessity of it was not determined in the Church, till the Canon made by the Milevitan Council, a Provincial in Affrica. Some I grant (saith he) used it before this time in Affrica, having good Thoughts of it, yet none of them pretended it to be necessary, nor a Goffpel Precept, and for every Ordinance the Institution is to be eyed, and neither to be stretched under, nor drawn narrower then the Lord hath made it.

I have read of a great Papist in London, who going to a Dispute about Infants-haptism, told his Friend, He was going to hear a Miracle, viz. Infants-haptism to be proved by Scriptures.

Oxford Divines, in a Convocation held in the year 1647. said, That without the consentaneous fudgment and Practice of the Universal Church, they should be at a loss when they are called upon for Proof in the Point of Infants-baptism.

Ecciss acknowledges, That Infants-baptism is not clear from the

Scripture, see his Encbiridion.

Monsieur Daille, a learned Frenchman, a great Searcher into Antiquities, said, That in ancient times they often deferred the Bayer tism of Infants, and produces many Examples: but whence is it (saith ke) that the very mentioning hereof is scarce to be endured as this day? Lib. 2. pag. 149.

Claudius Espontius, Bishop, ordained at a Council at Pysoy in France, about the year 1500. That Infants-baptism should be received by Tradition, because it could not be proved a Command of

Christ.

The Long Parliament, which fate in the year 1647. in their De-

Declaration in Answer to the Score Commissioners, declared thus, The Opinion touching the Baptizing of Infants is such, wherein former Ages as well as this, learned and godly Men have differed both is Opinion and Practice; and (said they) herein we hold it sit, that men should be convinced by the Word of Ged, with Gentleness and Reason, and not be beaten out by Force and Vielence.

It is no small Evidence (saith Hugo Grotius on Matth. 19.) three Baptism of Infants for many Hundred Years was not ordinary in the Church, because Constantine the Great, the Son of Helena a zea-

Vous Christian, was not baptized til aged.

By what hath been spoken, the Sober mind may understand, that fuch as dip people are relapfed into the Shadow, John's temporary Baptism, and not confirmed in the one Baptism, the inward cleanling, the Spirits Baptifm into one Body, and that fuch sas rantize or sprinkle Infants have no Command from Christ, nor Example among the Apostles, nor the first primitive Christians for to doing, but only the Commands of Popes, Councils, Kings, Earthly Powers, and the Examples of men deviated from the primitive Order and Ministers of Christ, should not be hurried away with the heady Torrent of Times, Customs, Traditions, Unscriptural Non-apostolical Dostrines, but should have an Eye to Christs Command and the Apostles Practice for what they do, I cannot but subscribe to the Testimony of Tertullian, who said, Id verum quod prins, prins quod abinitio, ab initio quod ab Apostolis; that is, That is Truth, which was first; that is best, which was from the beginning; that is from the Beginning, which was from the Apostles, Terrul. contr. Marcion. lib.4. for what the Apothes preached, the fame they received from Christ, who is Alpha and Omega, the First and the Last, the Beginning and Ending, glorified with the Father Pafore the Foundation of the Word

Now

Now if any question in their Adinds; why I can Sprinkling of Infants Rantilm, let them consider what follows for their satisfaction.

The Ceremony of Jehr's Ministration according to Divine In-Altution, was by Dipping, Plunging or Overwhelming their Bodies in Water, as Scapula and Stephens, two great Mafters of the Greek Tongue testifie; as also, Grotius, Pafer, Vollin, Minceus, Leigh, Cafanbon, Bucan, Bullenger, Zianchy, Spanhemine, Rogers, Taylor, Hammond, Calvin, Piscator, Aquinas, Sectus: Now this Dipping or Plunging of the Bodies of such as repented and believed, in Rivers or Fountains, the Greeks called it baptifmos, the Latins call it baptismus, in imitation of whom in our Orthography we call it baptism; the before-cited fully agree hereunto. Now as for Sprinkling, the Greeks call it rantifusos, which I render rantism; for 'tis as proper to call Sprinkling Rantism, as to call Dipping Baptism, this Linguists cannot be ignorant of, that dipping and prinking are expressed by several words, both in Latin, Greek and Hebrew; 'tis very evident, if Sprinkling had been of divine institution, the Creeks had their rantifums; but as Dipping was the Institution, they used baptismes, so maintained the purity and property of the Language. Mede in his Distribe on Titus faith, That there was no such thing as Sprinkling, or Rantism, used in Baptism in the Apostles dayes, nor many Ages after them; to sprinkle Young or Old, and call it Baptism, is very incongrueus, yea, as improper as to call a Horse a Cow; for Baptism signifies Dipping, &c. Zepperus is as clear as the Sun in this thing, saying, Exspsa voeis notatione, et etymo apparet que bapti wi administrandi con suctudo fuerit initio; cum nos pro baptismo Rentismon potins, hoc est, aspersionem mune babeamus; that is, It appears by the genuine fignification of the word, what their Cultom in administring Baptilm was at the first, for almuch as for Baptism we now rather use Rantism, that is, ffrinkling, Zepperus de Sacramentis. However Rantism hath entred into & among the Professors of Christianity, and to gain the more acceptance, 'tis called Baptism. Since the Ingress of the ApoRacy, great hath been the Confusion of men about this Point, as hath been shewed, and pight

might further be made apparent. If any Bishop or Priest (saith a Canon sathered upon the Apostles) do not immerge, that is, dip the Party to be baptized three times in the Water, but content him elf with one Immersion, that is, Dipping, let him be deposed, Summa Concil. et Pontis. But the fourth Toletan-Council, under Pope Honorius the first, taught, That whether an Insant be baptized with three Immersions, or but with one (in plain English, whether the Insant were dipt thrice or but once) yet he should be accounted baptized, and the Priest not to be deposed. Here was Canon against Canon, and the Canon sathered upon the Apostles wholely or in a high measure made void; but it hath been a Sleight of Antichrist, to sather upon the Apostles his smoaky Inventions, that coming under that guise they may find Acceptance.

But drawing to the Haven, let me feriously tell the Reader, That John's Water-baptismin its season I own, as an useful Shadow, fulfilled and ended by Christ's Spiritual Baptism; and that such as are for dipping Believers, are thorow the influence of Earth within turned to Shadows without, and Relapses are dangerous: And as for Rantism, that is, Sprinkling of infants, with Godfathers, Godmothers, Gossips, Commothers, Fonts, and all other Anti-


christian Appurtenances, the same I deny.

Now, to what I have spoken of Fonts, I further say, that they are usually hallowed in this manner; The Priest makes two Crosfes in the Water with his Right Hand, &c. then he takes a burning Candle, and drops it into the Water inform of a Cross, then cries aloud over it and prayes, That the Holy Spirit would descend into it, and make it fruitful, that it may have Power to regenerate and beget anew; then he breathes thrice into the Font, and puts Oyl and Cream into the Water, &c. Further, the Council of Trent taught, That at the Font a Spiritual Kindred and Relation was contracted between the baptized Child, its Parents, and the Godfathers and Godmothers; such a near Relation as that a Council decreed as followeth, Let no re Marry with Godfather or Godmother; phoever doth this, let the same be accounted as a Heathen until they be seperated, and let them do Pennance Twenty Years, Canon Nicani Concilij ex Codice Arabico. Summa Concil et Pontif. Christ and the Apostles taught not so, but that true Fellowship, Brotherhood and Sifterhood is in the Light of Life. Nova

Now to what hath been spoken concerning John's typical Mini-Aration of Water-baptism, which many would not have to be a Shadow, I further add; that the Jews before John's time, under the first Covenant, had their Baptism or Washing; if any one was made unclean by the touch of a Dead Body, he was to be made clean by the Water of Seperation, so that the Jews had their fan, 10 mis dir rengur, a Baptism or Washing after the touching of a Dead Body: thus much faith Godwin in his Jewish Ansio quities. He that is washed or baptized (as the Septuagint zenders it) after the touching of a Dead Body, if he touch it again, what avails his Washing, Eccles. 34.25. In the first Covenant (saith the Apostle) there was much Observation of Meats, Drinks, and divers Washings, or Baptisms, as the Greek renders it, Heb. 9.10. Now Christ, the End of the first Covenant, he put an End to the Shadows and Figures, to the Water of Separation without, to the divers Washings or Baptisms without, as Legal and Figurative, ordained to continue till the time of Reformation, the Prerogative of Christ Jesus, who introduced a Spiritual Baptism, by a Living Water, having a Living Effect, washing inwardly, John 7. 37, 38,39.

Li

A



TESTIMONY

FORTHE

Evangelical Communion,

INTHE

Bread of Life,

INTHE

Cup of Blessing.

Thomas Lawson.

A

PREFACE to the READER.

Reader, in this following Testimony is spread before thee, how after the Primitive Times, when therow the ingress of the Appostacy, the Bread of Life, the Cup of Blessing, where the true Fellowship is, was lost within, then they let in a Sea of Confusion about Bread and Wine without, wherein their Communion hath stood.

1. Some would have it ministred to all.

2. Some would have it ministred to Believers only.

3. For many Ages it was ministred to Children.

4. Some have ministred it to Dead People.

5. Some would have both Bread and Wine given.

6. Some are for giving Bread only.

7. Some say Christ is prefent Transubstantially.

8. Some say he is present Consubstantially, 9. Some say he is present Sacramentally.

10. Some say Bread and Wine are Figures of Christ.

11. Some say, they are the same Christ that was born of the Virgin, after Consecration; hence Corpus Christi day, and the Feast of the Sacrament of the Altar.

12. Some are for taking Bread and Wine before Supper, others

after Supper, others at anytime.

13. Some would have a whole Meal of it, some are for a Supothers for a Draught.

14. Some would have the Bread and Wine standing, Some

sitting, some kneeling, some any way.

15. Some would have the Bread broken by the Minister, some would break it themselves.

16. Some would have it in an upper Chamber, some in the Church so called, some matter not where, if they get it.

M = 2

17. Sons

(78)

17. Some are or have been for the Kisof Peace, some are for kissing

the Pax, so called.

18. Some are or have been for a Glaß Eup, some for a Wood Cup, some for a Cup of Gold, some for a Cup of Silver, some for a Cup of Pewter.

19. Some are for Wine only, Some for Wine mixed with Water,

20. Some bave been for taking it every day, some on all Sundays, so called, some for once a year, some for thrice a year.

21. Some would have the Bread and Wine given freely, many are

for taking Money for it.

22. Many give it as Dinner time, yet call it a Supper.

Adost of these things, if not all, are more or lest ouched in this following Discourse, and may be read and seen by such as trace the steps of Ecclesiastical Writers:

A Testimony for the Evangelical Communion, in the Bread of Life, in the Cup of Blessing.

Before the Armies of Israel went harnessed out of Agypt, the Lordinstituted a Passover, so called, because in slaying the First born of Agypt, both of Man and Beast, and in executing Judgment upon all their Gods, the Houses of the Israelites were passed over: What were the Israelites to do? Every House was to take a Lamb without Blemish, &c. and eatit within the Doors, with Unleavened Bread: How were they to eat it? With their Loyns girded up, with Shoes on their Feet, with Staves in their Hands: Who were to eat of it? The Israelites; no Stranger was to eat thereof, no Uncircumcised Person, Exod. 12. Who pass thorow the Ministration of Condemnation, to Christ the Evangelical Passover, the spiritual Paschal Lamb, they truly read this thing.

Now Christ, who came not to destroy the Law, but to sulfil it, before he was sacrificed up, or the Hand-writing blotted out, or nailed to the Crols, the Hour approaching of his departing out of Agypt (as I may say) a perverse, froward, gain-saying, contradicting, life-opposing World, to be glorified with the Father, as he was glorified with him before the World was, he enjoyned his Disciples to prepare the Passover, a Figure, a Shadow; now he being offered up, the thing was to be read within, the Cross to be known within, which crucifies Flesh, with the Affections and Lusts thereof, the Word of God, quick and powerful, sharper then a two-edged Sword, to be known within, cutting down captivating Flesh, and the Fruits thereof, and passing over the Seed which bears his Image; thus they came to Christ, the Evangelical Passover, to the Lamb without Blemish,

the

the Food of the spiritual Israel, in the House of their sanctified Hearts, which is not come unto but where the Loyns of the Mind have been girded up, Feet shod with the Preparation of the Gospel. Staff in the Hand; on this no Stranger, no Uncircumcifed Person feeds: Christ said to his Disciples, as Luke relates it, Luke 22.15. 16. With Defires I have defired to eat this Passover with you before I Saffer; for I say unto you, I will not any more eat thereof; until it be fulfilled in the Kingdom of God. Mark, the outward Passover was to be fulfilled in the Kingdom of God in the Church: How? By abolishing the old Passover, and introducing, that is, bringing in the Evangelical Paffover, the Substance, Christ, the spiritual Paschal Lamb. Faul gave record to this, to the Corinthians, Purge out the old Leaven, that ye may be a new Lump; for even Christ our Passover is sacrificed for us, 1 Cor & 7. Who are come to this Lamb without Blemish, they are crowned with a continual Festival.

At the Passover Christ took Bread and biessed it, brake it, and gave it to his Disciples, saying, Take, eat, Tolo esters loud. ue, this is my Body; From these words no small Confusion. hath rifen among School-men, and Professor Christianity, as hereafter may be seen: This is my Body; that is, this betokens, denotes, fignifies or shadows out my Body; the word [is] is often so taken, as by several Scriptural Instances it may appear: Joseph said unto Pharaoh, The seven good Kine are seven years, and the seven good Ears of Corn are seven years; that is, fignifieth or betokeneth feven years, Gen. 41.25,26. The seven Stars are the Angels of the seven Churches, Rev. 1. 20. that is, denotes, betokens, or signifies them. And the feven Heads are seven Mountains, on which the Woman sitteth, and the ten Horns are ten Kings; that is, fignifies, betokens or denotes these things, Rev. 17.1, 12. And Zech. 5. 3. The flying Rell is the Curse; that is, signifies or betokens the Curse: The Shadows of the Old Testament are said to expiate, because they were Types of Expiation by Christ. So I Cor. 10. That Rock was Christ; that is, fignified, betokened, or held out Christ, a Figure or Type of him. As in the Flower (faith Ludolphus) the Fruit is foreshown, so by the Shadows of the Law Christ to come was signified; But the word [is] is. n.vcr

never put for changed into, Pocle. So, this is my Body; that is, betokens or fignific my Body; the outward Bread was a Figure or Shadow of Christ, who is that to the Soul which outward Bread is to the Body; thorow outward Bread the Body grows, is firengthned, comforted, refreshed, satisfied; this Christ said should be fulfilled in the Kingdom of God, in the Church; how? thorow his spiritual Return, who is the Heavenly Bread, Bread of God, living Bread, life-giving Bread, in, by, and thorow which the Churches grew, were strengthned, comforted, refreshed, satisfied. Moreover, Christ took the Cup, and gave it to there, faving, Drink ye all of it, for this is my Blood, fignifies and denotes my Blood; Wine quenches the Thirst, increases Strength, banishes Sorrow, causeth Mirth, gladdens the Heart, adds Courage; Christ faid it was to be fulfilled in the Kingdom of God, in the Church, the primitive Churches washed in the Blood of the Lamb, were Witnesses hereof, shorow Draughts of the Cup of Bleffing, in pourings of the Quickening Spirit, they made Melody in their Hearts to the Lord; this Cup of Bleffing made Paul and Silus ling Praifes unto God, though in an inner Prison, and their Feet fast in the Stocks, Acts 16.

Further, Paul put the Corinthiuns (who were jangling and in Disorder) in mind of Christ's Institution, saying, I received of the Lord that which I delivered unit you; he informs them how he took Bread and the Cup, and had them eat and drink in remembrance of him; fer us often as ye cat this Bread, and drink this Cup, ye do show the Lord's Death till be come, I Cor. 11.23, &c.

Christ, though he departed as touching his outward Manifestation, yet he comforted them with Promises of returning; so though he departed in the Flesh, yet he returned in Spirit; I will pray the Father, and he shall give yen the Comforter, that he may abide with you forever. Again, I will not leave you comfortes, I will e me to you. Again, The Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your Remembrance, what she ver I have said unto you, John 14.16, 18, 26. Again, Christ said unto his Disciples, It is expedient for you that I go away; if I go not away, the Comforter will not come; but if I depart, I will

will send him unto you, and he will guide you into all Truth, and shew you things to come, John 16.7, 13. So their eating the outward Bread, and drinking the Cup, was in Remembrance of him, till he came; and when he was returned in Spirit, and revealed in them, their Comforter, their faithful Remembrancer, their Guide into all Truth, then they had that in them which the Bread and Wine represented.

Paul, writing to the Corinthians, took them from the Outward to the Inward, saying, Christ is in you, except you be Reprobates, 2 Cor. 13.5. And We have this Treasure in Earthen Vessels, 2 Cor. 4.7. And The Mystery hid from Ages and Generations was, Christ in them the Hope of Glory, Col. 1. 27. Thus Christ being in them, what need was there of Bread and Wine

to beep them in Remembrance of him till he came?

Now John, a Witness of his spiritual Appearance, bare record, saying, We know that the Son of God is come, and hath given wan Understanding, to know him that is true, and we are in him that is

trus, even in his Son Jesu Christ, 1 John 5.20.

Paul travelled in birth for the Galatians, until Christ was formed in them (Gal. 4. 19.) in the Apostles dayes, and while the Church kept the Faith and primitive Order, the Life of Jesus was manifest in their mortal Bodies, the Heavenly Birth was brought forth in them, Christ, the Bread of God, Bread of Life, Living Bread, which who eat of live forever, John 6. the redeemed Churches, washed in the Blood of the Lamb, witnessed this, so had the thing in them, signified by outward Bread and Wine.

Further, Paul writing to the Cerinthians, sanctified by Faith in Christ Jesus, called to be Saints, said, I speak asto wise men, judge ye what I say; the Comp of Blessing, which we bless, is it not the Communion of the Blood of Christ? the Bread which we break, is it not the Communion of the Body of Christ? we are all Partakers of that one Bread, 1 Cor. 10. 15. This Cup and this Bread know none but who follow Christ in the Regeneration; the outward mind feeds on Husks, drinks the Cup of Abomination; but in the Cross a renewing is known, a Hungring after the Bread of Life is known, the immortal Seed is known, in which the Family

(83)

lies of the Earth are bleffed; he spoke of a Cup, of a Bread, which only the Wise in the Wisdom of God had knowledge of: Now the primitive Christians, living Stones, being come to the the End of Shadows, testified against the Shadows, and did not stick in them, nor jangle about them; the Kingdom of God stands not in Meat and Drink, but in Righteou'ness, Peace and Toy in the Holy Spirit, Rom. 14.17. not in Bread and Wine, but in the second Adam, the quickening Spirit, the End of Shadows. Paul wrote to the Collossians, saying, Let no man udge you in Meat, or in Drink, Go. which are a Shadow of this gs to come, but the Body is of Christ, Col. 2.16, 7. Bread and Wine are Shadows as well as the outward Passover, and Christ is that in his People which the Shadows pointed at. To him that overcomes (faith hrift) will I give to eat of the hidden Manna, Rev. 2. 17. He promises a Food of a more spiritual nature, then outward Bread & Wine, a Food hidden from the wife and prudent, yea, and from that Mind that sticks in the Shadows. Further said the true and faithful Witness, Rev. 3. 20. Behold I fand at the Door and knock; if any man hear my Voice, and open the Door, I will come into him, and will sup with him, and he with me: This is the Gospel-communion, here was no outward Bread nor Wine, Cup nor Chalice, but here is that which the bread and wine pointed at, the cup of blessing. If we walk in the Light, as he is in the Light, we have Fellow ship one with another, and the Blood of Jesus Christ cleanseth in from all sin, 1 Joh. 1.7. In the Light Fellowship with the Lordisknown, and Fellowship one with another; and the Word is known, which became Flesh, and tabernacles in man, this the Redeemedeat, feed on, discern; and though it be said, The Flesh profitteth nothing, Joh. 6. and that Flesh and Blood cannot inherit the Kingdom of God, 1 Cor. 15. yet 'tis faid, Except ye eat the Flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his Blood, ye have no Life in you: and he that eateth my Flesh, and drines my Blood, dwelleth in me, and I in him, Joh. 6. And Paul said, We are Members of his Body, of his Flesh, and of his Bones, Ephel 5.30. And these are no contradictions, but fulfilled in the ground of Self-denyal, in the cross to the mortal, the Lords Death is shewed forth till he come, who lives forever, who abelishes Death, and brings Life and Immortality to light thorow the Gospel, 2 Tim (84)

2 Tim. 1.10. yea, and Joh. 3.13. The same that descended, the fame is ascended, and is flesh and bone, and neurishes the Soul to eternal Life; here the end of Shadows is known. Augustin faid truly, if truly and livingly read, To eat Christ, is to believe in Christ; why providest thou a Teeth and a Belig? believe only and . thou hast eaten: Faith centers in the eternal Word, in the quickning Word; fo that is revealed which fulfils outward Shadows, other things as well as Bread and Wine, were not of perpetual continuance, nor needful to be practifed in the Church, after their bounds and season, John 13.14, 15. Afts 15.28,29. Jam. 5.14. Paul testified saying, Henceforth know we no man after the flesh, yea, though we have known Christ after the flesh, yet now benceforth we know him no more, 2 Cor. 5.16. Paul was so spiritualized, as that he centered in nothing below the eternal Substance; he stickt not in Bread and Wine, or any other Shadow, but in fervency of spirit waited to be comprehended in the prefixed Life, as a man that fo looks for a lost Jewel, that he overlooks all besides it; outward things reach no surther then fleshly Knowledge, but a spiritual Understanding is revealed in, by, and thorow that which is spiritual.

Of Transubstantiation.

Though Israel saw the Wonders of the Lord in Ægypt, the Execution of his Judgments for their Deliverance, though the Lord went before them by Day in a Pillar of a Cloud, and by Night in a Pillar of Fire, though they passed thorow the Red Sea as upon dry Land, and saw the Ægyptians drowned, so that thorow a sense of his Power and mighty Acts they sang a Song of Praise and Thanksgiving unto the Lord, saying, He was glorious in Holiness searful in Praises, doing Wonders; yet coming unto Mount Sinai, on to which Moses was called, and continued 40 Dayes and 40 Nights, Israel sell into a spiritual Lethargy, to forget the Lord and his Noble Acts; and losing the sense of the pure, living, invisible, eternal God, they cryed for artificial Gods, Gods of Mans making, saying to Aaron, Up, make is Gods, which shall go before is for as for this Moses, that brought us out of the Land of Egypt, we were what is become of him, Exod. 32. Aa-

ron made them a Calf, and they faid, Thefe be thy Gods, O Israel, which brought thee up out of the Land of Egypt; thus losing the sense of the Living and Life-giving God, they turned to, cryed-up, and ascribed their Deliverance to a Molten Calf, fashioned with a Graving-Tool. In the Apostles days and primitive times, while their Garments were undefiled, being Branches of the Heavenly Olive, kept their Heavenly Places in Christ Jesus, they had the Bread of Life, Water of Life, Heavenly Manna, the Word that became Flesh in the persumed Houses of sanctified Hearts, they drank of the Cup of Salvation, the Cup of Bleffing, they were come to Mount Sion, they were Members of the New Joruf lem, they were in the spiritual Worship, they came to the Life, Sum, Substance of all Types, Figures, Shadows, and all thorow the Operation of Christ Jesus in them, who led them from the Applian-Bondage of Hellish Pharath, old Abaddon, the Destroyer; they knew the Priace of Peace, Christ Jesus; and their Labour and living concern was, that in all things he might have the Preeminence. But in daye, succeeding the Apostles, when the Mystery of Iniquity, which the Apostle saw in. wardly working in his day, was more and more manifested, the Starfaln from Heaven, Sun and sir darkned, the Purity of the primitive Church spoiled, through the Smoke of the bottomless Pit; through the Darkness and Delusion of this Smoke, they lost the bread of Life, the sup of bleffing within, so came to reassume bread and wine without, and let in a Sea of Consusion about the same, some ministring it to all, some to Believers only, sometime to Young Children, sometime to Dead People, &c. and the more the Smoke arose out of the Pit, the greater Darknessentred the Professor Christianity; till at length, like as Israel, having lost the sense of the living God, called for Gods without, so had a Molten Caif, and worshipped the same, ascribing their Deliverances thereunto, even so apostatized Christianity having loft the Bread of Life, the Cup of B'effing, Christ Jeius, thorow the in-steamings of the bottomleis Pit, they must have an artificial Christ; how is that? fince the Apostles dayer, when the Life and Power of Godline's was loft, then this Do-Arine came to be pseacht, That Bread an Was after it be consecrated by the Priest, is no more Bread and Wine, but theve-

N 3

ry Christ, that was born of the Virgin, so to be worshipped; that the Bread and Wine by the consecration of the Priest, is transfubstantiated, that is, changed into the very Body and Blood of Christ; from this came the word Transubstantiation; this Doctrine is grounded upon those words, This is my Rody; for from these words Papists say, Christ is present transus stantially; Lutheranssay, consubstantially; Calvinists say, He is present sacramentally, Author of the Naked Truth.

Whether the Bread and Wine after confectation be transubflantiated or not, hath been and is much controverted betwixt Pa-

pists and Protestants. One said,

Corpore de Christi lis est, de sanguine lis est, Lis est de modo, non habitura modum. How Bread and Wine the living Christ should be, 'Tis controverted much, men disagree.

The Council of Trent, begun in the year 1545, and others taught, That Bread and Wine being confecrated by the Priest, is turned into the true, real, substantial Body of Christ, Flesh, Blood, Bones, &c. even the same that was born of the Virgin, bread into his body, wine into his blood; and that this confecrated Host being offered to God by the Priest, is a Propitiatory Sacrifice for the Quick and the Dead, and that men are thereby reconciled to God, and that it must be worshipped, and carried about in Processions; and that such as denyed the same, should be Anatherna, accursed: And not only this Council, but also the Lateran Council, Pope Nicholas, Pope Leo, Pope Innocent, Pope Pius the sourch, Pope Vittor, Pope Honorius, &c. taught the same; for denying of this Dostrine, many have been burned to Astres, as Ecclesiastical Writers testisie, Summa Concil. et Pontif. Oruphrius.

Pope Innocent the third invented Pines and Eones (as 'tis faid)

to put the facramental Bread in.

Again, such Reverence came to be given to consecrated Bread without, when the bread of life was turned from, yea, and the true Worship of God in Spirit and in Truth, that a Council put out a Canon, forbidding Women to receive the Eucharist, that is,

the confecrated Host, with bare Hands, Concil. Antifid. Summa

Concil. et Pontif.

Roman-Catho'icks toll of a Miracle of an Ass, that left his Provender to worship the consecrated Host; on such Conceit, as this (saith Mede) the Doctrine of Transubstantiation is grounded.

Of Aderation given to the Consecrated Host.

When it came to be believed, that bread after confecration was the very Christ, the Lamb of God that takes away the sins of the World, then Popes and Councils ordained, that at certain times it should be listed up above the Priests Head, and that at the heaving of it up a Bell should be rung, and that all that hear its sound, should not only do low Obeysance, but fall down on their Knees, and with Hands listed up towards Heaven worship it, and say Pater Noster, or some other Prayer; they ordained also, that it should be born to the Sick with great Reverence, and that with sighted Candles, though at noon day, for the same they instituted a solema Feast, called, Corpus Christi Day, on which the confecrated Host is born about, listed up and worshipped.

Further, 'Twas enjoyned, That if a Priest were called in the Night season to housel the Sick, and there spent all his Hosts, as he came Homeward he was to put out the Candle, lest the people should adore the empty Tabernacle: such as read the Canons of Pope Honorius, Gregory the ninth, Pope Innecent, Pope-Clement the sifth, their Lives and Councils in their dayer, they may

fee these things.

In France (faith Heylin, in his Survey of the Estate of France) a couple of Priests carries the Host under a Canopy, before it goeth a Bell continually tinkling, all in Houses perceiving their God to go by, make some shew of Reverence, all in the Streets with bended Knees, and clevated Hands do it Honour; to the Prote-stants, it is as a Watch-Peal to be gone, lest for their Irreverence they be knocked down: in this (saith he) is a smatch of Turcism, who when they hear the Cryers bawling in their Streets, they fall prostrate on the Ground, where-ever they are, and kiss it thrice, so perform their Devotions to Mahomet; their carrying it about the Streets hath a touch of Judsism, from the Levites carrying

carrying about the Ark on their Shoulders; their Adoration of it is especially from Gentilism; for never any people but Heathen (saith he) afforded divine Honours to things inanimate. Thus when Heavenly Salt, Wisdom from above, was lost within, multitudes of Inventions swarmed into Apostatized Christendom.

Lanctantius in his first Book of Institutions, taxed the Gentiles of Ridiculousness, who worshipped Jupiter as a God, Cam eundem tamen Saturno et Rhea gentium confiterentur, seeing they so persectly knew his Original, much more are they to be blamed, who impose upon the people the Adoration of a Dead God, a principio non ita suit, it was not so from the the beginning, Hey-

lins Survey, &c.

Christ said unto his Disciples, Take, eat, this is my Body; this denotes, signifies, betokens my Body; what bread and wins is to the body of man, that is Christ the Bread of Lite, his Flesh, his Blood to the Soul; the Doctrine of Bread-worship, suvocation of Saints, Worshipping of Images, and many other pestilent Errors have steamed into the Church, from the mis-understanding and mis-interpretation of Scriptures, saith Rainold in his Orations. I and, who had the Mind of Christ, a feeling & sense of Christs Institution, said thrice in a Breath (as I may say) that the Eread after it was blessed, or Thanks' were given, which is called Confectation, remained Bread, he called it so, and he called it what it was; his words imply not his belief of Transubstantiation, but expressly the centrary, i Cor. 11. 26, 27, 28.

Again, Mat. 26. Christ took the Cup, and gave Thanks, and bad them drink, saying, This is my Bkol, that is, signifies, betokens or holds out my Blood; not his Blood after Confectation, but a Shadow of his blood; his own words immediately following evidently demonstrates this, I will not drink henceforth of the Fruit of the Vine; he calls what was in the Cup, the Fruit of the Vine; now his Blood was not the Fruit of the Vine, but Wine is the Fruit of the Vine; until that day when I drink it new with you in my Fathers Kingdom, saith he; now, whoever say, that Bread and Wine after Confectation is Christ's Flesh and Blood, consider this, Did Christ eat his own Body? Did he drink his own Blood? vou say, confectated Bread and Wine is Christ's Flesh and Blood, and Christ eat and drank thereof; what Confequences

sequences would follow this Doctrine? Christ, his Flesh, his Blood, is hid from apostatized Ages and Generations; his Word became Flesh, living Food, Food of living Souls; Christ is the living Bread, which came down from Heaven; his Flesh is the Bread that he gives, who came from Heaven, given for the Life, of the World; but perishing Bread and Wine, though consecuted a thousand times, came not from Heaven; this said he, who also said, The Flesh presents nothing, it is the Spirit that quickeneth; and the words that speak unto you they are Spirit, and they are Life,

Jean 6.

And whereas Paul said to the Corinthians, As often as ye can this Bread and Drink this Cup, ye do show the Lord's Death till be come, a Corat. 26. Now if the consecrated Host were Christ, then he were come; then how is it done till he come, and were it proper to say, till he come, when he is already come? Christ was obedient to Death, even the Death of the Cross; & the Corinthians were in the Cross in the daily dying, having Tastes of the eternal Inheritance, and, in the dying; he comes with perfect Conquest, abolishing Death, and bringing Life and Immortality to Light. And Paul said, This do ye, as often as ye dvink it in remembrance of me; this plainly shews, that consecrated Bread and Wine is not his Body; where his Body is there is no need of a Representation; consecrated Bread works not the Works of the true Chrise, the true and saithful Witness, so is not Christ.

Christ, called also Jesus, by interpretation a Savieur, such is his Name, such is his Nature; he saves his People from their Sins, Mat. i. 21. thorow his inward Operation they become new Creatures, God's Workmanship, in a word of Beth-aven, that is, a House of Vanity or Iniquity, they become Bethel, that is, the House of God, Sharers in the Riches & Peace of the Lords Family; consecrated Bread effects not these things in the Receivers, though a thousand times consecrated & received, so is not the Virgins Birth; John testified, saying, The Blood of Jesus Christ clenseth sur from all sin, 1 Joh 1.7. this is the effectual remedy; the Lords Candle finds many a soul Flaw in man; such as believe are reduced into the Order of the heavenly Truth: the Apostle surther bare record, saying, He loved us, and washed us from our size in his own Blood, Rev.

Rev. 1.5, 6. and hath made us Kings and Priests unto God, Kings to reign in Truths Dominion over the Earth, over the World, over Lusts, overspiritual Adversaries, Priests to offera Sacrifice of Praise and Thanksgiving unto the Lord; to this Dignity Bread and Wine, though a thousand times consecrated, cannot bring.

Again, The true Christ, the Virgins Birth, is the same to day, yesterday and forever, incorruptible, perishes not, immortal, the Holy One sees not Corruption; but Bread and Wine, though consecrated, corrupts, perishes, grows mouldy, loses Vertue, Taste, Savour, so is not the Body and Blood of Christ: and the Disciples were not to labour for the Meat which perishes, but for that Meat which endureth unto everlasting Life, John 6.27.

Again, Thorow the Body of Christ the faithful become dead to the Law, to be married to Christ, to be fruitful unto God, Rom. 7. 4. dead to the Law, dead to fin, yea, and the Law of the Spirit of Life in Christ Jesus makes free from the Law of Sin and Death, Rom. 8.2,10. but the confecrated Host hath not this Operation in man, but leaves men alive in Sin, dead to God, fo not Christs bod , not his flosh, not his blood: Christ said to the Jews, Your Fathers did eat Manna in the Wilderness, and are dead; such Minds as stick in Visibles are dead in Sins and Trespasses, though consecrated by Man, they quicken not, it is the Spirit that quickneth; and he that eats of the Bread (faith Christ) that comes from Heaven, the Word, Christ's Flesh, he knows a Vivine ation, a Quickning, he dyes not, but lives forever, John 6. and 'tis the Lord that gives being and Vertue to all Creatures, not mans Confecration, which cannot keep Visibles from losing their Vertue, Savour, Taste; 'tis evident by their own Constitution, that they were conscious of this, who enjoyned, That Priests on every eighth day should renew the holy Eucharist, that is, consecrated Bread, &c. lest thorow Oldness thereof some should be brought into Indevotion, to have the Spark of their Devotion (as I may fay) in meafure extinguished, Summa Concil. et Pontif. but Christ is the same to day, vesterday and forever, his Years sail not, he waxes not old as a Garment.

Of giving Bread and Wine to the World; for this it is alledged, that Judas dideat of the Bread, and diddrink of the Cup.

Answ. That was that the Scripture might be fulfilled, Mine own familiar Friend, which did eat of my Bread, hath lift up his Heel against me, Pfal. 41.9. yea, and Christ the Store-house of Wisdom and Knowledge, said, He that dippeth his Hand with me in the Dish, the same shall betray me, Matth. 26. 23. his Fellow-commoner (as I may fay) whereby the Indignity of the thing was much aggravated: as for Judas, he was numbred with the Disciples, and had obtained part of the true Ministry, Acts 1. but fell by Transgression, and became a Member of that finful and unfociable Society which Christ testified against; and for giving Bread and Wine, the Sacrament, as 'tis called, to the World, have you no Example but Judas? who fold Christ for Money, as many fell Bread and Wine for Money now, which after Confecration is truly and really Chr ft, as many in Degeneration affirm: Christ gave it to his Disciples only, a Shadow of a more spiritual Bread, of a more spiritual Cup, after to be reeeived.

Of giving Bread and Wine to Infants.

After the Primitive Times, when thorow the inundation of Apostacy, and over-spreading of that soul Leprosie, the living Bread and living Cup was lost, then streamed in a Sea of Consusion, as I said before, the Heavenly Key of pure Knowledge and Divine Understanding was lost, things of God became as a Book sealed with seven Seals, the Opener and Fulfiller of the Scriptures of Truth came in a high measure to be lost, then a Cloud of Missapprehensions and Missinterpretations of Scriptures involved the Professor of Christianity, then thorow a Missapprehension and Missunderstanding of that Scripture of Christ, Except ye eat the Flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his Blood, ye have no Life in you, John 6. 53. the Fathers sell into that Error, that None but Communicants could be saved, whereupon they gave the

Sacrament (se called) to Insants, and put it into the Mouthes of Dead Men, &c. Augustine Bishop of Hippo was thus minded, as appears by this his following Conclusion, that It is in vain to promise Salvation and Life Eternal to little Children, unless they be baptized, and receive the Body and Blood of Christ, such the Necessity of them both is attested by so many, so great, and divine Testimonies.

This Practice of giving the Sacrament to Infants crept in the early Ages of the World, became wonderful general, and continued above six hundred years in the Church, till the time of Charlemain; some were so strict init, as that a Canon enjoyned, That baptized Infants should receive the Communion before they sucked, or received any other Nourishment: the same is or hath been observed by the Greeks, Athiopians, Bohemians, Moravians; and that this was enjoyned by Popes, Bishops, &c. these following Authors testifie, recourse being had to their Canons, Constitutions, &c. Pope Innocent, Paganinus Gaudentius Theologemoribus seculi. Nicephorus in Histor. Ecsels. Johannes Langing, Augustin, Taylors worthy Communicant, chap. 3. Alcuinus, Maldonate on John 6. Author of the Naked Truth, Grotim.

Notwithstanding Pope Innocent had appointed the Sacrament to be given to Infants, and it became in a manner universal, of long continuance, yet the Council of Trent put out this Canon, If any one shall say, that the Communion of the Eucharist, that is, consecrated Bread, is necessary for Children before they attain unto years of Discretion, let him be Anathema, accursed, Concil. Trident. Sect. 3. Can. 4. Here the Pope, who cannot err, as 'tis said, came to be questioned, his Doctrine, Augustin's Doctrine, and the Church Practice for above six hundred years, came to be cast out as spurious by the Trent Council: What was become of the Unity of the Spirit, and the Spirit of the Pro-

phets, which is subject to the Prophets: Some said,

Ebrius, Infantes, Erronems, atque furentes, Cum pueris, domini non debent sumere corpun

Of giving the Sacrament, so called, to Dead People.

From the before-mentioned Scripture, Except ye eat the Flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his Blood, ye have no Life in you John 6. 33. the Fathers in the Degeneration from the primitive, Faith, Doctrine, Worship and Practice, sell into the Gulf of that Conceit, That none but Communicants should partake of the blessedness of Salvation; upon which they not only gave Sacramental Bread, &c. to Infants, but put it into the Mouthes of Dead Men: 'There were some (saith Sparke, the Kings Chaplain, 'pag. 127.) that baptized the Dead, and gut the Sacramental-Bread in their Mouths, as their Viaticum, judging it better to have such a necessary Ordinance by Proxy then altogether to "go without it. That such a thing was in use in the Church may be certainly concluded, from the fixth Canon of the third Council of Carthage, 'tis there apparent, that that Council condemned certain Hereticks, who thrust the Sacramental Bread into the Mouths of Dead Men; yea, and the firth general Council at Confrantineple was concerned to eradicate the Tares of that Delusion and smoky Conceit, saying, Let none give the Encharist, that is sacramental Bread, to the Dead; for it is written, Take, and eat; but the Dead are neither in a Capacity to take nor to eat, Summa Coneil. et Pontif. Thus the Cup of Bleffing being loft, they received at the old Serpents hand the Cup of Abomination, Fornication, Delusions and Conceits, and they were drunk therewith.

Of Time, and Times of taking the Sacrament.

As the Churches in the Apostles days and primitive Ages grew up in the fanctifying Faith, in the purifying Hope, thorow the Cross of Christ, the Life of Jesus came to be manifested in their mortal Bodies, they were true and living Witnesses of Fellowship with the Lord, thorow the Leadings and Teachings of the Anointing; they knew Christ supping with them, and they with him, without outward Bread and Wine, being in the Heavenly Banquetting House, in the End and Substance of all Types, Figure and Shadows, but as the Serpent seducing Eve, brought in a world of Wickedness, so the same by little and little wearing the Church from the Simplicity that is in Christ Jesus, brought is a flood of Confusion, an earthly Mind within, turned to earth-Ay Figures and Shadows without, Elements and Rudiments, lofing the Life of Communion within, they placed it in Shadows without, and much Confusion and Uncertainty hath been in the Church about the Times of taking it, as appears in the Writings of Men.

Very anciently (saith Polydor) Christians took the Eucharist, that is, the sacramental Bread, every day in the Morning, and

Fasting, Polydor lib. 5.

After this Augustinsaid, This daily taking of the Communion of the Eucharist, I neither Praise nor blame; yet my Perswasion is, that men take it every Lords Day: his Perswasion very likely took place; hence it came to be called, Panis hebdomadarius, Weck; ly Bread. Polydor lib. 5.

Zephyrinus afterwards appointed, That all Christians, who were cone to sutable years, should once a year at least, take the Sacrament, namely, at Easter: Once every day, now once a year will serve; either much of Zeal was in the one, or much of

Coldness was in the other. Polyd. lib. 5.

Fabian afterwards cstablished, That men should take the Saerament thrice in the year, if not oftner, at Easter, Pentecost, and Christmas, Polyd. lib. 5. Summa Concil. et Pontif.

Anacletus commanded, That they that would not take the Sacra-

Several are of another Disposition in this our Age, who shew not much dislike at peoples Refusal of the Sacrament, provide they give them the same Price as they do who take it; but refusing that, War is prepared.

Concerning the Communion Cup.

In the primitive Times, the Church of living Stones was under the Rule and Government of the bleffed Spirit, in and thorow which the Cry and living Soul-breathing was, Abba Father; here the Cup of Salvation, of Consolation, of Blessing, full of Righteoufness, Peace, Joy, Assurance, Heavenly Riches was plentifully drunk of, this being lost thorow the Smoke, Inchantments, Delusions, pompous Shew, bewitching Splendor, Misleadings, Muzlings and enfatuating Sleights of Antichrift, and men fast bound in the Dungeon of spiritual Servitude, and toiling in the Entanglements of Will-worship, Self-righteousues, Traditions, Conceits, in this condition the Cup of Abomination and spiritual Fornication got entrance, making Nations drunk. and in the barren Wilderness of this State, there hath been no little jangling about the Sacramental Cup without, as of what Mettal it should be made of; some have been for. Wood, some for Glass, some for Pewter, some for Gold, some for Silver, as may be affuredly concluded by the Canons and Constitutions of Popes, Kings, Arch-Bishops, Provincial Councils, &c.

It is very clear (faith Polydor) that the Cups used in the consecration of divine Blood was at the sirst made of Wood, de Invent. lib.2.

cap. 12.

Zepherinin afterwards commanded, That Glass Cups should be

used, not Wood Cups, as before, Polyd. lib. 2. cap. 12.

Succeeders skrewed a Peg higher, the former Decree by little and little vanished, and it was constituted, That Golden, Silver, or at the least Penter Cups or Chalices should be used, Polydor lib. 2.

Bonifacius Martyr and Bishop, said, Formerly Golden Priesto

(96)

did make use of Wood Cups or Chalices; but now on the contrary,

Wood Priests use Golden Chalises.

As the Apostatical Pomp and Splendor increased, so the simple and homely use of Wood Cups came to be generally hissed at and sleighted, so that the Provincial Triburishsian Council decreed thus, We decree, that henceforth no Priest may by any means presume to make the sacred Mystery of the Body and Blood of our Lordin Wood Cups or Chalices, Summa Concil. et Pontif.

Our King Edgar put out a Canan to this purpose, saying, We teach, that every Chalice or Cup, n herein any one shall consecrate the Eucharist, shall be of Molton Mettal, and not at all consecrated

in a Wood Cup or Chalise. . "

One Remigius an Arch-Bishop, appointed these his Verses to be set on the side of the Sacramental Cup:

Haurit hine populus vitam de Janguine Jacro Injecto aternus quem fudit vulnere Christus, &c. Hence People drink Eternal Life, that's good; For in this Cup contained is Christ's Blood.

Thus you see what a stir hath been about Invention, after that the Simplicity and Stability of the Truth as it is in Jesus was turned from, and after that credens was given, that Bread and Wine by the Priests consecration, was transmuted, that is, changed into the real Body and Blood of Christ.

How Communion in both Kinds was forbidden.

That Christ gave Bread and Wine unto his Disciples is undeniable; Paul mentioning the Institution unto the Corinthians, mentions both, as 'tis evident the Bread represented his Body, the Bread of Life, that nourishes the Soul to eternal Life; the Wine his Blood, which they came to witness to cleanse them from all sin, as they kept to the Head, thorow the Ligament of living Faith, they came to the End of all Figures, to partake of the one Bread, one in all; but in the dark reign of Apostacy they

(97)

re-assumed the Shadows, and divided Christendom into Clergy, that is, Teachers, and Laity, that is, all others out of Holy, Orders, so called; but all the Faithful are the Lord's Lot, as Alls 26. 18. translated there Inheritance, but properly signifies Lot, if the Greek Copies be consulted, and makes nothing for, but against the distinction of Clergy and Laity, Leighs Crit. However, the Distinction hath sound continuance in the Church; and in the year 1215. Pope Innocent the third did sirst of all, as 'tis recorded, forbid that the Lay People should receive their Communion in both kinds, he would allow them Bread, but no. Drink; this kind of Communion (as 'tis said) was not before the Council of Constance, where in the thirteenth Session they deprived the People of the Cup: yea, and a Canon was put out, saying in down-right and express terms:

If any one shall deny whole and entire Christ, the Fountain and Author of all Graces, so be taken under one Species of Bread, &c.

let him be Anathema, accurfed.

Thus in this, as in other things, it appears, that not only the Power of Godliness hath been lost, but great Confusion hath followed.

Of Mixed Wine.

Alexander (if I may use the Language of my Author) the seventh Pope from Peter, being about to consecrate, was the sirst of all that mingled Water and Wine together, Polydor lib. 3.

Of taking Money for Bread and Wine.

I have shewed before, how Pope Innecent the third, and the Council of Constance denyed the Cup to the Laity, so called; one Eckins complying with this Dostrine, gives an irrational Reason for it, The Laity (saith he) ought to content themselves with the Bread only, because, equi donati non sunt inspicienci dentes, that is, a gift Horse is not to be looked in the Adonth, Apud Manlium in loc. com. He thought belike, that Lay Men could not claim, any Right to the Bread neither.

Belg

Bellarmine grants, that they have Right to the Bread, but adds, that ineating the Bread transubstantiated by the Priest into the Body of Christ, they drink his Blood also. But Lombard (his Master) contradicts this his Doctrine, saying, That the Bread is not turned but into Christs Flesh, nor the Wine but into his Blood.

Let him that is crowned with Heavenly Wisdom, judge whether these Contradictions bespeak them to be Builders of Sion, a City of Unity, the Joy of the whole Earth, or Builders of spiritual Babel, a City of Consustant

The Council of Constance acknowledged, that Christ instituted and administred both Bread and Wine, tamen hoc non obstante, yet notwithstanding the Authority of the holy Canons and the Custom of the Church hath and doth deny the Cup to the

Laity.

But to return, Neither did Christ take Money for the Bread and Wine which he gave to any; but as the spirit of Selfishness entred the Temple of the Church, it produced other Essects: surely there was occasion why the sixth general Council of Constantinople decreed, 'That no Bishop, Priest or Deacon, administring the Communion to people, should take any Money whatever of them for the same; and that, who as did should

be deposed, Summa Concil. et Pontif.

Yet notwithstanding the Constantinopolitan Canon in Protestant Churchesnow, the Parish buyes Bread and Wine, and the Priest sells it them again, that the Priest may have an Offering at Easter, so that many otherwise zealous for the established Ministry, blame their Ministers, their spiritual Host, as they call them, that first the Parish must buy Bread and Wine, and give it to the Minister, and then the Minister give it to the People, and after call for a Reckoning, yea, and force such as neither bite nor sup thereof to reckon and pay.

Let us hear the Lord's Proclamation, Ho, every one that thirfeeth, come ye to the Waters, to the Water of Life, the Cup of Salvation, Confolation and Bleffing; a spiritual Thirst is called for, neither Money nor Price. And surther, He that hath no

Morey,

(99)

Money, come ye, buy and eat, yearome, buy Wine and Milk without Money and without Price. Here is Bread, and Wine, and Milk at the Lord's Hand, without Money or Price, 15a.55.1,2. but fuch as are made Ministers by the will of man, through the strength of Arts, Sciences, Natural Parts, Abilities, Qualifications, Endowments, Acquisitions, they have another Language, so another Spirit, sorcing Money and Price not only from Receivers, but from Non-receivers also; so that the Practice of many, I say, not all, befreak them, saying, We preach the Gospel, tantum ut nos pascat, et vession, meerly for Food and Rayment, to pick a living by, Trap on 1 Pet. 5.

Yea, and Revel. 22. 17. The Spirit and the Bride say, some; and let him that heareth say, some; and let him that he atherst come; and whosever will, let him take the Water of Life freely. Here is Communion, Royal Communion, Water of Life, Bread of Life, the Cup of Blessing, freely, without Money

or Price.

But their Voice is not the Voice of the Spirit, nor of the Bride, nor of him that heaveth, nor of him that is athirst, who forces Money and Price for Bread and Wine, the Shadow, not only from the Receiver, but the Non-receiver also; for where Christ's Doctrino and the Apostles is transgressed, his Institution for Gospel-Maintenance violated, their Voice is the Voice of Bolcom, who was for Gists, Rewards, Wages of Unrighteousness, filthy Lucre, who have nothing but the Shadow, which maketh not alive, they make Gain of it, sell it; but who are Members of Christ's Body, of his Flesh, of his Bones, as freely they have received the Bread of Life, so they freely give.

Of the Kiss of Peace, and of the Pax.

David, the frect Singer of Israel, exherted Kings and Judges of the Earth, to kiss the Son, less he be engry, Psal 2.12. These are Greetings, cordial Embraces, not known but in the operating Virtue of the Seed of Regeneration, and in spiritual Submission to his Heavenly Will. Less him help me (seith the Education)

Church) with the Kiffes of his Month, Cantic. 1. 3. In the sen'e-restoring Life these are felt as Seals and Assurances of divine Favour and Acceptance, Earnests and Fruits of the Spirit. like Balm to the Wounded. Paul writing to the Romans faid, Salute one another with an Holy Kifs, Rom. 16. 16. This Peter called, The Kiss of Love, Pet. 5. 14. In after Ages, as Fellowship with the Lord, and with one another in the Light, in a high measure came to be loft, then the Observation of Shadows more and more got entrance, then their Fellowship and Communion began much to confife in Externals, as Bread and Wine, then Christians entertained a Custom of Kissing one another before they received the Communion, thereby testifying their Love to one another, as faith Parens on the Romans; In the ancient Church, when they affembled (faith Mede) to ceelebrate the Communion, the Deacon was wont to proclaim, ' Nequis contra aliquem, Let no Man have ought against his Brother; and then, Salutate alij alios of culo fancto, that is, Salute one another with an Holy Kiss, which they did, first the Bi-'shop and Clergy, then the Laity, the Men apart by them-'selves, and the Women by themselves, in token of Friend. ' ship; this was called, Ofculum pacis, The Kiss of Peace: After this entred a Ceremony, (in the Midnight of Apoftacy, as I may fay, still used among the Romanists to this day) 'for the Prick to 'fend a little Gilded or Painted Table with a Crucifix, or some Saints Picture thereon, to be kissed of every one is the Church. before they receive the Bread; this they call, The Kiffing of the Pax, Mede. What there is of the Power of Godliness. or of the Form thereof, in this thing, without further Remarks, I leave to the Wife to judge.

Of the Feast of the Sacrament of the Altar.

The outward Jew had several Feasts of especial Solemnity, which shadowed out a spiritual Feast under the Gospel-Dispensation, to be truly and inwardly witnessed in the purished Houses of izward Jews.

The outward Jew was to observe the Feast of the Passover

of Unleavened Bread, wherein for seven dayes they were to eat Unleavened Bread, no Leaven was to be found in their Hou-

Ses, Exod. 23.

The outward Jew was to observe the Feast of Pentecost, instituted in Remembrance of the Law delivered unto Moses in the Mount, sifty dayes after their departure out of Agypt; this was called the Feast of Weeks, in which they were to offer a new Meat-Offering unto the Lord, Levit. 23. a Tribute of a Free-will Offering, Denr. 26.

The outward Jew was to observe the Feast of Harvest, the first ripe Fruits of the Land were to be offered unto the Lord, Exed. 22. As also the Feast of In-gathering, when Fruitswere gathered is, and this was to continue seven dayes, called

also the Feast of Tabernacles, Exod. 23. Levie. 23.

Moreover, The outward Jew was to observe the Feast of At-

tonement, &c:

Now Christ Jesus put an End to all these, as Typical, Shadows, Figures, being the End of the Law for Righteousness to every one that believes, the inward Jewis, the truly Gathered of the Lord, had the true sense and feeling hereof, bearing record to Christ Jesus, their Passover, their Unleavened Bread, Bread of Life, their spiritual Law-giver, making them free from the Law of Sin and Death, they were come to the Evangelical Meat-Offering, to the Free-will Offering, they bare record to Christ, the First Fruits of them that sleep; they knew the Evangelical In-gathering, having Heavenly Treasure in Earthen Vesfels; they knew a Tabernacle not of man's building; John testified faving, The Word became Flesh, and tabernacled in we, dwelt in us as in a Tabernacle, fo they faw his Glory; and the Tabernacle of God is with men, and he will in-dwell in them, Rev. 21.3. yea, and by Christ they were Witnesses of Attonement; the inward Jews, Called and Cholen, were come to the End of the Jewish Shadows, Feasts, as other things; they were come to the Joy of the boly Spirit, to the Marriage Supper of the Lamb; these knew a Good Conscience to be a continual Feast; bleffed are they which are called unto the Marriage Supper of the Lamb, if they obey his Call, and frame not Excuses, as those Gu efts P 2

Gneas did mentioned by the Evangelist: and that the Apostles who were come to the End of Jewish Observances, as o Days, and Fears, did institute others, Thave not found nor observed. further then that the Churches in the Apostles dayes usually gathered together, to wait upon and worship the living God on the First Day of the Week; and in primitive Times, the Observation of it was as a Badge of Christianity; when the Question was propounded, Servasti Dominicum? Hast thou kept the Lord's Day? the Answer was returned, Christianus sum, intermittere nonpossum; I ama Christian, I cannot but keep the Lord's Day: But Professor Christianity are grown perfectly prophane, "(faith one) as that they can play on that day without Book, Trapp. 'Let us keep that day (faith Ignation) in a spiritual "manner, rejoycing in the Meditation of the Law, not in the "Rest of the Body, Epist. 3. ad Magnes. The Apostle in Christ, the End of the Jewish Observation of Dayes, said thus, Hethat observes a Day, he observes it to the Lord, Rom. 14.6. so say we. Further, the Galatians Judaizing, turning to beggarly Rudiments, Paul wrote unto them, faying, Te observe Days, Moneths, Times and Years; I am afraid of you, Gal. 4. 10, 11. Now, as the Apostacy prevailed, the Sun-shine of the Evangelical Day was loft, then they brought in multitudes of Saints Dayes, fo called, to be observed to this and that Saint, till such times as all the Dayes of a Year were not sufficient to afford a particular Day to each Saints whom they defired to honour with a Festival, then came in All-Saints Day, so called, and among other dayes let apart, in the year 1254. Pope Urban the fourth ordained the Feast of the Sacrament of the Altar, with Indulgences and Pardons; in this Degeneration of Christianity came in (as I said before) these things; and as for Christmas, Shrovetide, &c. the very Names discover their Founders, and those that plead for the Observation of those Times are generally the greatest Prophaners thereof, in Sports, Games, vicious Courses, Observers rather of the Rule of Sardanapalus (who faid, Ede, bibe, lude, post mortem aula voluptas; Eat, drink, play, &c.) then of Christ Jesus.

Concerning the word Sacrament, and Eucharlif.

The old Roman Soldiers took an Oath, to betrue to their General, to live and die with him; and that Oath was called, Sacramentum militare, Rivet, Horace. Now when the Roman Empire turned Christians by the lump, and painted themselves with the Form of Godliness, sew beautified with the Power and Virtue thereof, they began to apply the Term of their Heathen Custom to the Signs or Shadow of the Christian Church, so that the word Sacrament came from the Heathen Romans, Leigh.

Tertulian is said to be the first who used the word Satrament, in order to the Signs or Figures of the Church, who was about

the year 210.

It is a word not found in the Scriptures, if truly translated, yet it hath got such rooting among the Professors of Christianity, as that if any deny it, they are branded as Hereticks and Schismaticks by Papifts and Protestants: Now, as it were to allure people into a Reverence and Veneration of it, Papists in their Translations, have put it in the Body of the Scripture; for, whereas Paulfaid, This is a great My Rery, but I Speak concerning Chrost and the Charch, Ephel. 7. 32. their Translations render it, This is a great Sacrament. But true it is, the English Translation is true herein, and the Papists Translation is faulty; and their number of, seven Sacraments they have from Peter Lombard, they are not mentioned in any Scripture, but first devised by Peter Lombard, Bellarwine's Mafter, first decreed by Eugenius the fourth, first confirmed in the Provincial Council of Senes, after in the Council of Trent, Leigh, Chamier de suc. lib. 4. cap. 1. And our English Translations have the word Sacrament in the Contents of the tenth Chapter of the first to the Corinthians; but the Division of the Scripture into Chapter and Verse is not from the Authors thereof, but of late time; as also, the inserting in of the Contents, many Almanacks inform us of the Authors hereof.

Now as for the word Eucharist; Luke and Paul speaking of the Institution, mention, how Jesus Christ sook Bread, and Euxagiolisas, Eucharistesas, that is, giving Thanks: Now from the Greek word

Eucharistes as

Eusbaristesas, the Saprament (so called) came also to be called Encharist: and after Christ had taken the Cup, and given thanks, and given it unto his Disciples, after this Consecration, as 'tis called, Christ called it the Fruit of the Vine; now if the Wine had been really transmuted, changed into the Blood of Christ, then it had not been the Fruit of the Vine; but faith he, I will not drink henceforth of the Fruit of the Vine, until that day, when I drink it new with you in my Father's Kingdom, Matth. 26. Peter preaching Christ to Cornelius, said, That they did Eat and Drink with Christ after be rose from the Dead, Acts 10.

This Testimony I have given concerning Bread and Wine, which Tertullian, Origen, Ambrese, Augustine, Jerom, Chrysostom, Basil and many others call Figures, Types, Shadows, &c. though their Writings bevery uncertain; and my Testimony is, That Christ is the End of all Figures, Types and Shadows, as before; true Union, Communion and Soul-feeding is in the Light of the living God, in Christ, whose Life is the Light of Men, the Soul's Food. Now, inciting the Testimonies of men who were fince the primitive Times, I do it not to build mens Faith, or my own, on the Authorities of Men, but for the Conviction of such who plead, that ancient Writers are Unanimous, yea, and Harmoniow in defending such and such things: and who turn from divine Grace manifested, such know not the Mouth nor the Stomach, which feeds upon Heavenly Food, Bread of Life, the Word that became Flesh, such discern not the Lord's Body; but cleaving to that which is earthly, are carnallized thereby, made earthly-minded, labouring for the Meat that perisheth, and drink not the Cup of Bleffing, but become drunk with the Cup of Fornication, from the Presence of the Lamb.

Now, to what hath been spoken, I further add. That the fews Paffover, with its Appurtenances, was ended and fulfilled by Jefus Christ; Christ was circumcifed; he taught in the Temple; he faid to the cleansed Leper, Show thy self to the Priest, and offor a Gift according to the Law; thus Christ, the Substance, the End of the Law, the End of the Shadows, owned them in their

featon,

feason, before he was offered up, or the Hand-writing nailed to the Cross; and as Christ owned other Shadows in their season, before he was offered up, so he owned the Passover, in which the Jews had their Bread and Wine, blessed and consecrated it, proving the same to be a Shadow, so by Christ suffilled and ended.

1. The Jews in eating the Pascal Lamb, took a Cup of Wine, bleffed and consecrated it, so it passed round the Table; this was called [Bircath-hajajin] the Blessing of the Cup; in this manner

Christ began his Supper, Luke 22. 17, 18.

2. The Jews in eating their Pascal Lamb, took Break, blessed and consecrated it, and after consecration all were to eat thereof; this consecration of the Bread they called [Bircath halechem] the Blessing of Bread; thus Christ took Bread, blessed it, and

gave it to his Disciples.

3. The Jews at the end of their Passover took a Cup of Wine, and blessed it, and called it [Bircath hamazon] so after the Supper Christ took the Cup, Luke 22.20. this saith Godwyn in his Iewish Antiquities. Thus Christ, the End of the Iewish Shadows, the Life and Substance they pointed at, owned the Shadows before he was offered up; but being returned in Spirit, the End of the Iewish Passover, Bread and Wine, was manifested; and the Apostle preached Christ to be their Passover, the Bread of Life, Cup of Blessings, the End of Iewish Figures.

THE END

THEFEND.











